UNITED STATES	)
Appellee	)
	)
<b>v</b> .	)
	)
Leon WALLER Jr.	)
Airman First Class (E-3)	)
U.S. Air Force	)
Appellant	)

No. ACM 40487

NOTICE OF PANEL CHANGE

It is by the court on this 8th day of August, 2023,

#### **ORDERED**:

That the Record of Trial in the above-styled matter is withdrawn from Panel 1 and referred to Panel 3 for appellate review.

This panel letter supersedes all previous panel assignments.



FOR THE COURT

TANICA S. BAGMON Appellate Court Paralegal

LL
R
e Pa
СМ
gus
-
С

### **ANT'S MOTION FOR** GEMENT OF TIME (FIRST)

inel 3

40487

st 2023

### TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE **UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(1) and (2) of this Honorable Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for his first enlargement of time to file an Assignment of Error (AOE). Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 60 days, which will end on 27 October 2023. The record of trial was docketed with this Court on 29 June 2923. From the date of docketing to the present date, 53 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 120 days will have elapsed.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested first enlargement of time.

Respectfully submitted,

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Appellate Government Division on 21 August 2023.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES,	)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
Appellee,	)	<b>OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S</b>
	)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
V.	)	OF TIME
	)	
Airman First Class (E-3)	)	ACM 40487
LEON WALLER, JR., USAF,	)	
Appellant.	)	Panel No. 3
	)	

### TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States

hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an

Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's

enlargement motion.



PETE FERRELL, Lt Col, USAF Director of Operations Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division Military Justice and Discipline Directorate United States Air Force

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force

Appellate Defense Division on 22 August 2023.



PETE FERRELL, Lt Col, USAF Director of Operations Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division Military Justice and Discipline Directorate United States Air Force

UNITED STATES	) APP
Appellee	) ENL
v.	) ) Befo
Airman First Class (E-3)	) No. 4
LEON WALLER, JR.,	)
United States Air Force	) 18 O
Appellant	)

### APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME (SECOND)

Before Panel 3

No. ACM 40487

18 October 2023

### TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(3) and (4) of this Honorable Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for his second enlargement of time to file an Assignment of Error (AOE). Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 30 days, which will end on **26 November 2023**. The record of trial was docketed with this Court on 29 June 2023. From the date of docketing to the present date, 111 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 150 days will have elapsed.

On 15 February 2023, Appellant was tried by a general court-martial sitting as a military judge alone at Shaw Air Force Base, South Carolina. R. at 1, 10. Consistent with his pleas, R. at 13, the military judge found Appellant guilty of one charge and one specification of wrongful possession of child pornography and one charge and one specification of wrongful distribution of child pornography, both in violation of Article 134, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ). R. at 62. On 15 February 2023, the military judge sentenced Appellant to a bad conduct discharge, confinement for two years, total forfeitures, reduction to the grade of E-1, and a reprimand. R. 100. The convening authority disapproved the adjudged reprimand but otherwise took no action on the findings or sentence. Record of Trial (ROT), Vol. 1, Convening Authority Decision on Action – *United Sates v. A1C Leon Waller, Jr.* Appellant is currently confined.

The record of trial is two volumes, consisting of four prosecution exhibits, 10 defense exhibits, and six appellate exhibits; the transcript is 102 pages.

Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been unable to complete his review and prepare a brief for Appellant's case. An enlargement of time is necessary to allow counsel to fully review Appellant's case and advise Appellant regarding potential errors. Appellant was advised of his right to a timely appeal. Appellant was advised of the request for this enlargement of time. Appellant has provided limited consent to disclose a confidential communication with counsel wherein he consented to the request for this enlargement of time.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time for good cause shown.

Respectfully submitted,



I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and

served on the Appellate Government Division on 18 October 2023.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES,	)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
Appellee,	)	<b>OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S</b>
	)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
V.	)	OF TIME
	)	
Airman First Class (E-3)	)	ACM 40487
LEON WALLER, JR., USAF,	)	
Appellant.	)	Panel No. 3
	)	

### TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States

hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an

Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's

enlargement motion.



MARY ELLEN PAYNE Associate Chief, Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division Military Justice and Discipline United States Air Force

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force

Appellate Defense Division on 20 October 2023.



MARY ELLEN PAYNE Associate Chief, Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division Military Justice and Discipline United States Air Force

UNITED STATES Appellee	)
	)
V.	)
	)
Airman First Class (E-3)	)
LEON WALLER, JR.,	)
United States Air Force	)
Appellant	)

### APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME (THIRD)

Before Panel 3

No. ACM 40487

17 November 2023

### TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(3) and (4) of this Honorable Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for his third enlargement of time to file an Assignment of Error (AOE). Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 30 days, which will end on **26 December 2023**. The record of trial was docketed with this Court on 29 June 2023. From the date of docketing to the present date, 141 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 180 days will have elapsed.

On 15 February 2023, Appellant was tried by a general court-martial sitting as a military judge alone at Shaw Air Force Base, South Carolina. R. at 1, 10. Consistent with his pleas, R. at 13, the military judge found Appellant guilty of one charge and one specification of wrongful possession of child pornography and one charge and one specification of wrongful distribution of child pornography, both in violation of Article 134, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ). R. at 62. On 15 February 2023, the military judge sentenced Appellant to a bad conduct discharge, confinement for two years, total forfeitures, reduction to the grade of E-1, and a reprimand. R. 100. The convening authority disapproved the adjudged reprimand but otherwise took no action on the findings or sentence. Record of Trial (ROT), Vol. 1, Convening Authority Decision on Action – *United Sates v. A1C Leon Waller, Jr.* Appellant is currently confined.

The record of trial is two volumes, consisting of four prosecution exhibits, 10 defense exhibits, and six appellate exhibits; the transcript is 102 pages.

Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been unable to complete his review and prepare a brief for Appellant's case. An enlargement of time is necessary to allow counsel to fully review Appellant's case and advise Appellant regarding potential errors. Appellant was advised of his right to a timely appeal. Appellant was advised of the request for this enlargement of time. Appellant has provided limited consent to disclose a confidential communication with counsel wherein he consented to the request for this enlargement of time.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time for good cause shown.

Respectfully submitted,

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and

served on the Appellate Government Division on 17 November 2023.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES,	)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
Appellee,	)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
	)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
V.	)	OF TIME
	)	
Airman First Class (E-3)	)	ACM 40487
LEON WALLER, JR., USAF,	)	
Appellant.	)	Panel No. 3
	)	

### TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States

hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an

Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's

enlargement motion.



MARY ELLEN PAYNE Associate Chief, Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division Military Justice and Discipline United States Air Force

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force

Appellate Defense Division on 21 November 2023.



MARY ELLEN PAYNE Associate Chief, Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division Military Justice and Discipline United States Air Force

UNITED STATES Appellee	)
	)
V.	)
	)
Airman First Class (E-3)	)
LEON WALLER, JR.,	)
United States Air Force	)
Appellant	)

### APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME (FOURTH)

Before Panel 3

No. ACM 40487

19 December 2023

#### TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(3) and (6) of this Honorable Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for his fourth enlargement of time to file an Assignment of Error (AOE). Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 30 days, which will end on **25 January 2024**. The record of trial was docketed with this Court on 29 June 2023. From the date of docketing to the present date, 173 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 210 days will have elapsed.

On 15 February 2023, Appellant was tried by a general court-martial sitting as a military judge alone at Shaw Air Force Base, South Carolina. R. at 1, 10. Consistent with his pleas, R. at 13, the military judge found Appellant guilty of one charge and one specification of wrongful possession of child pornography and one charge and one specification of wrongful distribution of child pornography, both in violation of Article 134, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ). R. at 62. On 15 February 2023, the military judge sentenced Appellant to a bad conduct discharge, confinement for two years, total forfeitures, reduction to the grade of E-1, and a reprimand. R. 100. The convening authority disapproved the adjudged reprimand but otherwise took no action on the findings or sentence. Record of Trial (ROT), Vol. 1, Convening Authority Decision on Action – *United Sates v. A1C Leon Waller, Jr.* Appellant is currently confined.

The record of trial is two volumes, consisting of four prosecution exhibits, 10 defense exhibits, and six appellate exhibits; the transcript is 102 pages.

Undersigned counsel is currently assigned 18 cases; 14 cases are pending initial AOEs before this Court. One case before the Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces (CAAF) have priority over this case: *United States v. Smith*. Oral argument is scheduled for 16 January 2024, and undersigned counsel is currently preparing for that argument. In addition, seven cases before this Court have priority over the instant case:

- United States v. Daughma, ACM 40385 The Record of trial is nine volumes consisting of 18 prosecution exhibits, five defense exhibits, 64 appellate exhibits, and one court exhibit. Undersigned counsel has reviewed the sealed and unsealed transcript and exhibits and is conducting legal research.
- United States v. Stelly, ACM 40425 The record of trial is four volumes consisting of three prosecution exhibits, five defense exhibits, and 10 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 109 pages.
- United States v. Logan, ACM 40407 The record of trial is seven volumes, consisting of seven prosecution exhibits, 12 defense exhibits, 26 appellate exhibits, and three court exhibits; the transcript is 657 pages.
- United States v. Pulley, ACM 40438 The record of trial is 11 volumes, consisting of 22 prosecution exhibits, five defense exhibits, and 66 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 730 pages.
- United States v. Thomas, ACM 40418 The record of trial is six volumes, consisting of six prosecution exhibits, 16 defense exhibits, and 50 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 746 pages.

- 6) United States v. Dillon, ACM 40463 The record of trial is four volumes, consisting of nine prosecution exhibits, eight defense exhibits, one court exhibit, and seven appellate exhibits; the transcript is 380 pages.
- United States v. Couty, ACM 40484 The record of trial is seven volumes, consisting of 20 prosecution exhibits, two defense exhibits, two court exhibits, and 29 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 868 pages.
- United States v. Kelnhoffer, ACM 23012 The record of trial is two volumes, consisting of 18 prosecution exhibits, three defense exhibits, and 11 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 494 pages.

Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been unable to complete his review and prepare a brief for Appellant's case. An enlargement of time is necessary to allow counsel to fully review Appellant's case and advise Appellant regarding potential errors. Appellant was advised of his right to a timely appeal. Appellant was advised of the request for this enlargement of time. Appellant has provided limited consent to disclose a confidential communication with counsel wherein he consented to the request for this enlargement of time.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time for good cause shown.

Respectfully submitted,

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and

served on the Appellate Government Division on 19 December 2023.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES,	)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
Appellee,	)	<b>OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S</b>
	)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
V.	)	OF TIME
	)	
Airman First Class (E-3)	)	ACM 40487
LEON WALLER, JR., USAF,	)	
Appellant.	)	Panel No. 3
	)	
	)	

### TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States

hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an

Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's

enlargement motion.



JOCELYN Q. WRIGHT, Capt, USAF Appellate Government Counsel Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division Military Justice and Discipline Directorate United States Air Force

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force

Appellate Defense Division on 20 December 2023.



JOCELYN Q. WRIGHT, Capt, USAF Appellate Government Counsel Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division Military Justice and Discipline Directorate United States Air Force

UNITED STATES	) MOTION TO WITHDRAW FROM
Appellee	) APPELLATE REVIEW AND
	) MOTION TO ATTACH
	)
V.	) Before Panel No. 3
	)
Airman First Class (E-3)	) No. ACM 40487
LEON WALLER, JR.,	)
United States Air Force	) 19 January 2024
Appellant	)

#### TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:

Pursuant to Rule 16 of this Honorable Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, and Rule for Courts-Martial (R.C.M.) 1115, Appellant hereby moves to withdraw his case from appellate review. Appellant has fully consulted with Capt Trevor N. Ward, his appellate defense counsel, regarding this motion to withdraw. No person has compelled, coerced, or induced Appellant by force, promises of clemency, or otherwise to withdraw his case from appellate review.

Further, pursuant to Rules 23(b) and 23.3(b) of this Honorable Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, undersigned counsel asks this Court to attach the two-page document appended to this pleading to Appellant's Record of Trial. The appended document is a Department of Defense Form 2330, signed by Appellant and undersigned counsel. The appended document is necessary to comply with R.C.M. 1115(d) and Rule 16.1 of this Honorable Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure. WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the above captioned motion to withdraw from appellate review and likewise grant his request to attach matters to the record.

Respectfully submitted,

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Appellate Government Division on 19 January 2024.

Respectfully submitted,