UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

_	No. ACM S32600	
_	UNITED STATES Appellee	
	v.	
	ustin B. MCGINNIS n (E-4), U.S. Air Force	, Appellant
Appeal from the U	Inited States Air Force	Trial Judiciary
D	ecided 9 January 2020	
— <i>Military Judge:</i> Shaun S.	Speranza.	
Sentence: Sentence adjud Air Force Base, Delaware 2019: Bad-conduct discha E-1.	. Sentence entered by n	nilitary judge on 3 June
For Appellant: Major Ben	jamin H. DeYoung, US	AF.
For Appellee: Mary Ellen	Payne, Esquire.	
Before J. JOHNSON, POS	SCH, and KEY, Appello	nte Military Judges.
-	ed opinion and, as sucl CCA Rule of Practice a	
PER CURIAM:		

The findings and sentence as entered are correct in law and fact, and no error materially prejudicial to Appellant's substantial rights occurred. Articles 59(a) and 66(d), Uniform Code of Military Justice, 10 U.S.C. §§ 859(a), 866(d).

Manual for Courts-Martial, United States (2019 ed.). Accordingly, the findings and sentence are AFFIRMED.*

FOR THE COURT

Carol K. Joyce

CAROL K. JOYCE Clerk of the Court

* The 3 June 2019 entry of judgment in this case omitted the convening authority's denial of Appellant's request to defer the adjudged reduction in grade required by Rule for Courts-Martial (R.C.M.) 1111(b)(3)(A). However, the request and denial were properly reflected in the convening authority's 28 May 2019 decision on action, which is attached to the entry of judgment. Therefore, we find no colorable showing of possible prejudice from this minor omission, see *United States v. Scalo*, 60 M.J. 435, 436–37 (C.A.A.F. 2005) (citing *United States v. Kho*, 54 M.J. 63, 65 (C.A.A.F. 2000)), and corrective action is not necessary. See R.C.M. 1111(c).