

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	Misc. Dkt. No. 2010-18
Respondent)	
)	
v.)	
)	ORDER
Second Lieutenant (O-1))	
DAVID A. VALOIS,)	
USAF,)	
Petitioner - <i>Pro se</i>)	Panel No. 2

In a Petition for Extraordinary Relief in the Nature of a Writ of Habeas Corpus, dated 20 September 2010, that was filed by the Petitioner – Pro se, under the All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651, the Petitioner – Pro se requested that this Court appoint counsel under Article 70(c), UCMJ, 10 U.S.C. § 870(c).

Accordingly, it is by the Court on this 28th day of September, 2012,

ORDERED:

That the Petitioner – Pro se is hereby notified that this Court does not possess the authority under either Article 70, UCMJ, 10 U.S.C. § 870, or A.F. COURT OF CRIM. APP. R. PRAC. AND PROC. 11 (2011) to appoint appellate defense counsel to the petitioner. In accordance with the provisions of Article 70, UCMJ, and Rule 11, any appointment of appellate defense counsel would be determined by The Judge Advocate General of the Air Force.

In the absence of such an appointment, the pro se petition is hereby denied without prejudice to refiling a petition with the assistance of counsel, if counsel is afforded to the appellant by The Judge Advocate General.

FOR THE COURT

OFFICIAL



A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "S. Lucas", is written over a horizontal line.

STEVEN LUCAS
Clerk of the Court