

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	APPELLANT’S MOTION
<i>Appellee,</i>)	FOR ENLARGEMENT
)	OF TIME (FIRST)
v.)	
)	Before Panel No. 1
Senior Airman (E-4))	
RYAN J. RICHINS)	No. ACM S32817
United States Air Force,)	
<i>Appellant.</i>)	19 May 2025


**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES
AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(1) and (2) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for an enlargement of time to file Assignments of Error. Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 60 days, which will end on **25 July 2025**.

Appellant’s case was docketed with this Court on 27 March 2025. From the date of docketing to the present date, 53 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 120 days will have elapsed since docketing.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time.

Respectfully submitted,



JORDAN L. GRANDE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604
Office: (240) 612-4770
Email: jordan.grande@us.af.mil

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Air Force Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 19 May 2025.

Jordan L. Grande

JORDAN L. GRANDE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604
Office: (240) 612-4770
Email: jordan.grande@us.af.mil

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
)	OF TIME
v.)	
)	Before Panel No. 1
)	
Senior Airman (E-4))	No. ACM S32817
RYAN J. RICHINS,)	
United States Air Force,)	
<i>Appellant.</i>)	20 May 2025

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.



KATE E. LEE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial & Appellate Operations
1500 W. Perimeter Road, Suite 1190
Joint Base Andrews, MD
DSN: 612-4809

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force
Appellate Defense Division on 20 May 2025.



KATE E. LEE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial & Appellate Operations
1500 W. Perimeter Road, Suite 1190
Joint Base Andrews, MD
DSN: 612-4809

**UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

UNITED STATES)	No. ACM S32817
<i>Appellee</i>)	
)	
v.)	
)	ORDER
Ryan J. RICHINS)	
Senior Airman (E-4))	
U.S. Air Force)	
<i>Appellant</i>)	Panel 1

On 19 May 2025, counsel for Appellant submitted a Motion for Enlargement of Time (First), requesting an additional 60 days to submit Appellant’s assignments of error. The Government generally opposed the motion.

The court has considered Appellant’s motion, the Government’s opposition, case law, and this court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Accordingly, it is by the court on this 20th day of May, 2025,

ORDERED:

Appellant’s Motion for Enlargement of Time (First) is **GRANTED**. Appellant shall file any assignments of error not later than **25 July 2025**.

Each request for an enlargement of time will be considered on its merits. Appellant’s counsel is advised that any subsequent motions for enlargement of time shall include, in addition to matters required under this court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, statements as to: (1) whether Appellant was advised of Appellant’s right to a timely appeal, (2) whether Appellant was provided an update of the status of counsel’s progress on Appellant’s case, (3) whether Appellant was advised of the request for an enlargement of time, and (4) whether Appellant agrees with the request for an enlargement of time.



FOR THE COURT

Olga Stanford
OLGA STANFORD, Capt, USAF
Chief Commissioner


to good order and discipline, in violation of Article 134, UCMJ. R. at 26, 98, 186; Appellate Ex. IX; Entry of Judgment. The military judge sentenced Appellant to be reprimanded, confined for a total period of 140 days, and a bad conduct discharge. R. at 255.¹

The record of trial consists of one electronic record of trial with four Prosecution Exhibits, two Court Exhibits, five Defense Exhibits, and ten Appellate Exhibits; the transcript is 256 pages long. Appellant is not currently confined. Undersigned counsel has not yet completed her review of this case.

Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been unable to prepare a brief for Appellant's case. An enlargement of time is necessary to allow counsel to prepare a brief for Appellant's case. Appellant was advised of his right to a timely appeal. Appellant has been provided an update on the status of undersigned counsel's progress on this case. Appellant was advised of the request for this enlargement of time. Appellant provided limited consent to disclose a confidential communication with counsel wherein Appellant consented to the request for this enlargement.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time.

Respectfully submitted,



JORDAN L. GRANDE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604
Office: (240) 612-4770
Email: jordan.grande@us.af.mil

¹ Appellant was credited with 98 days of pretrial confinement credit. R. at 255.

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Air Force Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 15 July 2025.

Jordan L. Grande

JORDAN L. GRANDE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604
Office: (240) 612-4770
Email: jordan.grande@us.af.mil

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
)	OF TIME – OUT OF TIME
v.)	
)	Before Panel No. 1
)	
Senior Airman (E-4))	No. ACM S32817
RYAN J. RICHINS,)	
United States Air Force,)	
<i>Appellant.</i>)	21 July 2025

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time Out of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case. This opposition is being filed out of time due to administrative oversight.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.



KATE E. LEE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial & Appellate Operations
1500 W. Perimeter Road, Suite 1190
Joint Base Andrews, MD
DSN: 612-4804

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force Appellate Defense Division on 21 July 2025.



KATE E. LEE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial & Appellate Operations
1500 W. Perimeter Road, Suite 1190
Joint Base Andrews, MD
DSN: 612-4804

**UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

UNITED STATES)	No. ACM S32817
<i>Appellee</i>)	
)	
v.)	
)	NOTICE OF PANEL CHANGE
Ryan J. RICHINS)	
Senior Airman (E-4))	
U.S. Air Force)	
<i>Appellant</i>)	

It is by the court on this 4th day of August, 2025,

ORDERED:

That the Record of Trial in the above-styled matter is withdrawn from Panel 1 and referred to Panel 3 for appellate review.

This panel letter supersedes all previous panel assignments.



FOR THE COURT

Agnieszka Gaertner

AGNIESZKA M. GAERTNER, Capt, USAF
Commissioner


to good order and discipline, in violation of Article 134, UCMJ. R. at 26, 98, 186; Appellate Ex. IX; Entry of Judgment. The military judge sentenced Appellant to be reprimanded, confined for a total period of 140 days, and a bad conduct discharge. R. at 255.¹

The record of trial consists of one electronic record of trial with four Prosecution Exhibits, two Court Exhibits, five Defense Exhibits, and ten Appellate Exhibits; the transcript is 256 pages long. Appellant is not currently confined. Undersigned counsel has not yet completed her review of this case.

Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been unable to prepare a brief for Appellant's case. An enlargement of time is necessary to allow counsel to prepare a brief for Appellant's case. Appellant was advised of his right to a timely appeal. Appellant has been provided an update on the status of undersigned counsel's progress on this case. Appellant was advised of the request for this enlargement of time. Appellant provided limited consent to disclose a confidential communication with counsel wherein Appellant consented to the request for this enlargement.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time.

Respectfully submitted,



JORDAN L. GRANDE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604
Office: (240) 612-4770
Email: jordan.grande@us.af.mil

¹ Appellant was credited with 98 days of pretrial confinement credit. R. at 255.

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Air Force Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 15 August 2025.

Jordan L. Grande

JORDAN L. GRANDE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604
Office: (240) 612-4770
Email: jordan.grande@us.af.mil

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
v.)	OF TIME
)	
Senior Airman (E-4))	Before Panel No. 3
RYAN J. RICHINS,)	
United States Air Force,)	No. ACM S32817
<i>Appellant.</i>)	
)	19 August 2025
)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.



KATE E. LEE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial & Appellate Operations
1500 W. Perimeter Road, Suite 1190
Joint Base Andrews, MD
DSN: 612-4804

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force Appellate Defense Division on 19 August 2025.



KATE E. LEE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial & Appellate Operations
1500 W. Perimeter Road, Suite 1190
Joint Base Andrews, MD
DSN: 612-4804

to good order and discipline, in violation of Article 134, UCMJ. R. at 26, 98, 186; Appellate Ex. IX; Entry of Judgment. The military judge sentenced Appellant to be reprimanded, confined for a total period of 140 days, and a bad conduct discharge. R. at 255.¹

The record of trial consists of one electronic record of trial with four Prosecution Exhibits, two Court Exhibits, five Defense Exhibits, and ten Appellate Exhibits; the transcript is 256 pages long. Appellant is not currently confined. Undersigned counsel has not yet completed her review of this case.

Pursuant to A.F. Ct. Crim. App. R. 23.3(m)(6), undersigned counsel also provides the following information: Maj Grande is currently assigned 29 cases; 23 cases are pending before this Court (18 cases are pending AOE). Ten cases have priority over the present case:

1. *United States v. Castillo*, ACM No. 40705- The record of trial consists of seven volumes, with five Prosecution Exhibits, one Court Exhibit, one Defense Exhibit, and thirty-four Appellate Exhibits. The transcript is 470 pages long. Appellant is not currently confined. Undersigned counsel is drafting the AOE in this case.
2. *United States v. Marcoux*, ACM No. 40708- The record of trial consists of twelve volumes, with twenty-nine Prosecution Exhibits, one Court Exhibit, seventeen Defense Exhibits, and eighty-three Appellate Exhibits. The transcript is 1345 pages long. Appellant is currently confined. Undersigned counsel is reviewing the record for this case.
3. *United States v. Heilig*, ACM No. 40740 - The record of trial consists of one e-ROT with six volumes, three Prosecution Exhibits, eight Defense Exhibits, and six Appellate

¹ Appellant was credited with 98 days of pretrial confinement credit. R. at 255.

Exhibits; the transcript is 135 pages long. Appellant is not currently confined. Undersigned counsel has not yet completed her review of this case.

4. *United States v. Cunningham*, ACM No. 40746 - The record of trial consists of ten volumes with twelve Prosecution Exhibits, one Court Exhibit, seven Defense Exhibits, and eighty-five Appellate Exhibits. The transcript is 1,249 pages long. Appellant is currently confined. Undersigned counsel has completed her review of the record for this case.
5. *United States v. See*, ACM No. S32805 - The record of trial consists of one e-ROT with two volumes, three Prosecution Exhibits, two Court Exhibits, six Defense Exhibits, and four Appellate Exhibits; the transcript is 341 pages long. Appellant is not currently confined. Undersigned counsel has not yet completed her review of this case.
6. *United States v. Torres*, ACM No. 40758 - The record of trial consists of one e-ROT with two volumes, four Prosecution Exhibits, one Court Exhibit, five Defense Exhibits, and five Appellate Exhibits; the transcript is 112 pages long. Appellant is not currently confined. Undersigned counsel has completed her review of this case.
7. *United States v. Declue*, ACM No. 40769 – The record of trial consists of one E-ROT consisting of six volumes, with sixteen Prosecution Exhibits, five Defense Exhibits, and fifty Appellate Exhibits. The transcript is 626 pages long. Appellant is currently confined. Undersigned counsel has completed her review of the record for this case.
8. *United States v. Ward*, ACM No. 40749 – The record of trial consists of one e-ROT with eleven volumes, with four Prosecution Exhibits, one Defense Exhibit, and thirty-seven Appellate Exhibits. The transcript is 370 pages long. Appellant is currently

confined. Undersigned counsel has not yet completed her review of the record for this case.


9. *United States v. Echavarria*, ACM No. S32810 - The record of trial consists of one e-ROT with three Prosecution Exhibits, one Defense Exhibit, and four Appellate Exhibits; the transcript is 101 pages long. Appellant is currently confined. Undersigned counsel has not yet completed her review of the record for this case.

10. *United States v. Harrington*, ACM No. 39825 (reh) – The record of trial for the rehearing consists of six volumes with five Prosecution Exhibits, ten Defense Exhibits, one Court Exhibit, and thirty Appellate Exhibits. The transcript is 662 pages. Undersigned counsel has not yet completed her review of the record for this case.

Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been unable to prepare a brief for Appellant's case. An enlargement of time is necessary to allow counsel to prepare a brief for Appellant's case. Appellant was advised of his right to a timely appeal. Appellant has been provided an update on the status of undersigned counsel's progress on this case. Appellant was advised of the request for this enlargement of time. Appellant provided limited consent to disclose a confidential communication with counsel wherein Appellant consented to the request for this enlargement.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Jordan L. Grande".

JORDAN L. GRANDE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division

1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604
Office: (240) 612-4770
Email: jordan.grande@us.af.mil

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Air Force Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 15 September 2025.

Jordan L. Grande

JORDAN L. GRANDE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604
Office: (240) 612-4770
Email: jordan.grande@us.af.mil

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
v.)	OF TIME
)	
Senior Airman (E-4))	Before Panel No. 3
RYAN J. RICHINS,)	
United States Air Force,)	No. ACM S32817
<i>Appellant.</i>)	
)	17 September 2025
)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.



KATE E. LEE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial & Appellate Operations
1500 W. Perimeter Road, Suite 1190
Joint Base Andrews, MD
DSN: 612-4804

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force
Appellate Defense Division on 17 September 2025.



KATE E. LEE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial & Appellate Operations
1500 W. Perimeter Road, Suite 1190
Joint Base Andrews, MD
DSN: 612-4804

to good order and discipline, in violation of Article 134, UCMJ. R. at 26, 98, 186; Appellate Ex. IX; Entry of Judgment. The military judge sentenced Appellant to be reprimanded, confined for a total period of 140 days, and a bad conduct discharge. R. at 255.¹

The record of trial consists of one electronic record of trial with four Prosecution Exhibits, two Court Exhibits, five Defense Exhibits, and ten Appellate Exhibits; the transcript is 256 pages long. Appellant is not currently confined. Undersigned counsel has not yet completed her review of this case.

Pursuant to A.F. Ct. Crim. App. R. 23.3(m)(6), undersigned counsel also provides the following information: Maj Grande is currently assigned 29 cases; 23 cases are pending before this Court (18 cases are pending AOE). Nine cases have priority over the present case:

1. *United States v. Marcoux*, ACM No. 40708- The record of trial consists of twelve volumes, with twenty-nine Prosecution Exhibits, one Court Exhibit, seventeen Defense Exhibits, and eighty-three Appellate Exhibits. The transcript is 1345 pages long. Appellant is currently confined. Undersigned counsel is drafting the AOE in this case.
2. *United States v. Heilig*, ACM No. 40740 - The record of trial consists of one e-ROT with six volumes, three Prosecution Exhibits, eight Defense Exhibits, and six Appellate Exhibits; the transcript is 135 pages long. Appellant is not currently confined. Undersigned counsel has completed her review of the record in this case.
3. *United States v. Cunningham*, ACM No. 40746 - The record of trial consists of ten volumes with twelve Prosecution Exhibits, one Court Exhibit, seven Defense Exhibits, and eighty-five Appellate Exhibits. The transcript is 1,249 pages long. Appellant is

¹ Appellant was credited with 98 days of pretrial confinement credit. R. at 255.


- currently confined. Undersigned counsel has completed her review of the record for this case.
4. *United States v. See*, ACM No. S32805 - The record of trial consists of one e-ROT with two volumes, three Prosecution Exhibits, two Court Exhibits, six Defense Exhibits, and four Appellate Exhibits; the transcript is 341 pages long. Appellant is not currently confined. Undersigned counsel has not yet completed her review of this case.
 5. *United States v. Torres*, ACM No. 40758 - The record of trial consists of one e-ROT with two volumes, four Prosecution Exhibits, one Court Exhibit, five Defense Exhibits, and five Appellate Exhibits; the transcript is 112 pages long. Appellant is not currently confined. Undersigned counsel has completed her review of this case.
 6. *United States v. Declue*, ACM No. 40769 – The record of trial consists of one E-ROT consisting of six volumes, with sixteen Prosecution Exhibits, five Defense Exhibits, and fifty Appellate Exhibits. The transcript is 626 pages long. Appellant is currently confined. Undersigned counsel has completed her review of the record for this case.
 7. *United States v. Ward*, ACM No. 40749 – The record of trial consists of one e-ROT with eleven volumes, with four Prosecution Exhibits, one Defense Exhibit, and thirty-seven Appellate Exhibits. The transcript is 370 pages long. Appellant is currently confined. Undersigned counsel has not yet completed her review of the record for this case.
 8. *United States v. Echavarria*, ACM No. S32810 - The record of trial consists of one e-ROT with three Prosecution Exhibits, one Defense Exhibit, and four Appellate Exhibits; the transcript is 101 pages long. Appellant is currently confined. Undersigned counsel has not yet completed her review of the record for this case.

9. *United States v. Harrington*, ACM No. 39825 (reh) – The record of trial for the rehearing consists of six volumes with five Prosecution Exhibits, ten Defense Exhibits, one Court Exhibit, and thirty Appellate Exhibits. The transcript is 662 pages. Undersigned counsel has not yet completed her review of the record for this case.

Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been unable to prepare a brief for Appellant's case. An enlargement of time is necessary to allow counsel to prepare a brief for Appellant's case. Appellant was advised of his right to a timely appeal. Appellant has been provided an update on the status of undersigned counsel's progress on this case. Appellant was advised of the request for this enlargement of time. Appellant provided limited consent to disclose a confidential communication with counsel wherein Appellant consented to the request for this enlargement.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time.

Respectfully submitted,



JORDAN L. GRANDE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604
Office: (240) 612-4770
Email: jordan.grande@us.af.mil

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Air Force Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 14 October 2025.

Jordan L. Grande

JORDAN L. GRANDE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604
Office: (240) 612-4770
Email: jordan.grande@us.af.mil

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
v.)	OF TIME
)	
Senior Airman (E-4))	Before Panel No. 3
RYAN J. RICHINS,)	
United States Air Force,)	No. ACM S32817
<i>Appellant.</i>)	
)	16 October 2025
)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.



KATE E. LEE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial & Appellate Operations
1500 W. Perimeter Road, Suite 1190
Joint Base Andrews, MD
DSN: 612-4804

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force Appellate Defense Division on 16 October 2025.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Kate E. Lee", enclosed in a light blue rectangular border.

KATE E. LEE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial & Appellate Operations
1500 W. Perimeter Road, Suite 1190
Joint Base Andrews, MD
DSN: 612-4804

to good order and discipline, in violation of Article 134, UCMJ. R. at 26, 98, 186; Appellate Ex. IX; Entry of Judgment. The military judge sentenced Appellant to be reprimanded, confined for a total period of 140 days, and a bad conduct discharge. R. at 255.¹

The record of trial consists of one electronic record of trial with four Prosecution Exhibits, two Court Exhibits, five Defense Exhibits, and ten Appellate Exhibits; the transcript is 256 pages long. Appellant is not currently confined. Undersigned counsel has not yet completed her review of this case.

Pursuant to A.F. Ct. Crim. App. R. 23.3(m)(6), undersigned counsel also provides the following information: Maj Grande is currently assigned 29 cases; 23 cases are pending before this Court (18 cases are pending AOE). Eight cases have priority over the present case:

1. *United States v. Marcoux*, ACM No. 40708- The record of trial consists of twelve volumes, with twenty-nine Prosecution Exhibits, one Court Exhibit, seventeen Defense Exhibits, and eighty-three Appellate Exhibits. The transcript is 1345 pages long. Appellant is currently confined. Undersigned counsel is drafting the AOE in this case.
2. *United States v. Cunningham*, ACM No. 40746 - The record of trial consists of ten volumes with twelve Prosecution Exhibits, one Court Exhibit, seven Defense Exhibits, and eighty-five Appellate Exhibits. The transcript is 1,249 pages long. Appellant is currently confined. Undersigned counsel has completed her review of the record for this case.
3. *United States v. See*, ACM No. S32805 - The record of trial consists of one e-ROT with two volumes, three Prosecution Exhibits, two Court Exhibits, six Defense Exhibits, and

¹ Appellant was credited with 98 days of pretrial confinement credit. R. at 255.

- four Appellate Exhibits; the transcript is 341 pages long. Appellant is not currently confined. Undersigned counsel has not yet completed her review of this case.
4. *United States v. Torres*, ACM No. 40758 - The record of trial consists of one e-ROT with two volumes, four Prosecution Exhibits, one Court Exhibit, five Defense Exhibits, and five Appellate Exhibits; the transcript is 112 pages long. Appellant is not currently confined. Undersigned counsel has completed her review of this case.
 5. *United States v. Declue*, ACM No. 40769 – The record of trial consists of one E-ROT consisting of six volumes, with sixteen Prosecution Exhibits, five Defense Exhibits, and fifty Appellate Exhibits. The transcript is 626 pages long. Appellant is currently confined. Undersigned counsel has completed her review of the record for this case.
 6. *United States v. Ward*, ACM No. 40749 – The record of trial consists of one e-ROT with eleven volumes, with four Prosecution Exhibits, one Defense Exhibit, and thirty-seven Appellate Exhibits. The transcript is 370 pages long. Appellant is currently confined. Undersigned counsel has not yet completed her review of the record for this case.
 7. *United States v. Echavarria*, ACM No. S32810 - The record of trial consists of one e-ROT with three Prosecution Exhibits, one Defense Exhibit, and four Appellate Exhibits; the transcript is 101 pages long. Appellant is currently confined. Undersigned counsel has not yet completed her review of the record for this case.
 8. *United States v. Harrington*, ACM No. 39825 (reh) – The record of trial for the rehearing consists of six volumes with five Prosecution Exhibits, ten Defense Exhibits, one Court Exhibit, and thirty Appellate Exhibits. The transcript is 662 pages. Undersigned counsel has not yet completed her review of the record for this case.

Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been unable to prepare a brief for Appellant's case. An enlargement of time is necessary to allow counsel to prepare a brief for Appellant's case. Appellant was advised of his right to a timely appeal. Appellant has been provided an update on the status of undersigned counsel's progress on this case. Appellant was advised of the request for this enlargement of time. Appellant provided limited consent to disclose a confidential communication with counsel wherein Appellant consented to the request for this enlargement.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time.

Respectfully submitted,



JORDAN L. GRANDE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604
Office: (240) 612-4770
Email: jordan.grande@us.af.mil

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Air Force Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 14 November 2025.



JORDAN L. GRANDE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604
Office: (240) 612-4770
Email: jordan.grande@us.af.mil


IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
v.)	OF TIME
)	
Senior Airman (E-4))	Before Panel No. 3
RYAN J. RICHINS,)	
United States Air Force,)	No. ACM S32817
<i>Appellant.</i>)	
)	17 November 2025
)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.


WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.



KATE E. LEE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial & Appellate Operations
1500 W. Perimeter Road, Suite 1190
Joint Base Andrews, MD
DSN: 612-4804

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force Appellate Defense Division on 17 November 2025.



KATE E. LEE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial & Appellate Operations
1500 W. Perimeter Road, Suite 1190
Joint Base Andrews, MD
DSN: 612-4804

**UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

UNITED STATES)	No. ACM S32817
<i>Appellee</i>)	
)	
v.)	
)	NOTICE OF PANEL
Ryan J. RICHINS)	CHANGE
Senior Airman (E-4))	
U.S. Air Force)	
<i>Appellant</i>)	

It is by the court on this 15th day of December, 2025,

ORDERED:

That the Record of Trial in the above-styled matter is withdrawn from Panel 3 and referred to Panel 2 for appellate review.

This panel letter supersedes all previous panel assignments.



FOR THE COURT



JACOB B. HOEFERKAMP, Capt, USAF
Chief Commissioner

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	APPELLANT’S MOTION TO
)	WITHDRAW FROM APPELLATE
<i>Appellee,</i>)	REVIEW AND ATTACH
)	
v.)	Before Panel No. 2
)	
Senior Airman (E-4))	No. ACM S32817
Ryan J. Richins,)	
United States Air Force,)	
<i>Appellant.</i>)	15 December 2025

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 16 of the Joint Rules of Appellate Practice for Courts of Criminal Appeals (JRAP) and Rule for Courts-Martial (R.C.M.) 1115, *Manual for Courts-Martial, United States* (2024 ed.), Appellant moves to withdraw his case from appellate review. Appellant has consulted fully with Dwight H. Sullivan, his appellate defense counsel, regarding this motion to withdraw. No person has compelled, coerced, or induced Appellant by force, promises of clemency, or otherwise, to withdraw his case from appellate review.

Further, pursuant to Rules 23(b) of the JRAP and Rule 23.3(b) of this Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, undersigned counsel asks this Court to attach the two-page document appended to this motion to the record of this proceeding. The appended document, Appellant’s completed DD Form 2330, Waiver/Withdrawal of Appellate Rights in General and Special Courts-Martial Subject to Review by a Court of Criminal Appeals, is necessary to comply with R.C.M. 1115(d) and Rule 16.1 of this Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Court grant this motion to withdraw from appellate review and attach matters to the record.

Respectfully submitted,




Dwight H. Sullivan
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762
(240) 612-4770
Email: dwight.sullivan.1@us.af.mil



CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing was sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 15 December 2025.

Respectfully submitted,



Dwight H. Sullivan
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS


UNITED STATES,)	APPELLANT’S MOTION TO AMEND
<i>Appellee,</i>)	PLEADING
)	
v.)	Before Panel No. 2
)	
Senior Airman (E-4))	No. ACM S32817
Ryan J. Richins,)	
United States Air Force,)	
<i>Appellant.</i>)	18 December 2025

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

COMES NOW Appellant, Senior Airman Ryan J. Richins, by and through his undersigned counsel, and moves pursuant to Rule 23.3(n) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure to amend his motion to withdraw from appellate review and attach. The original motion included an unsigned version of the DD Form 2330. Accompanying this motion is a corrected motion including Appellant’s signed DD Form 2330. Counsel regards the error and appreciates the Court calling it to his attention and moves. Counsel moves to amend the original motion for by substituting the accompanying motion for it.

WHEREFORE, this Honorable Court should grant this motion.

Respectfully submitted,




DWIGHT H. SULLIVAN
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762
(240) 612-4770
dwight.sullivan.1@us.af.mil



CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing was sent via email to the Court and served on the Air Force Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 18 December 2025.

Respectfully submitted,



Dwight H. Sullivan
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762
(240) 612-4770
dwight.sullivan.1@us.af.mil

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	APPELLANT’S MOTION TO WITHDRAW FROM APPELLATE REVIEW AND ATTACH
)	
<i>Appellee,</i>)	
)	
v.)	Before Panel No. 2
)	
Senior Airman (E-4))	No. ACM S32817
Ryan J. Richins,)	
United States Air Force,)	
<i>Appellant.</i>)	15 December 2025

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 16 of the Joint Rules of Appellate Practice for Courts of Criminal Appeals (JRAP) and Rule for Courts-Martial (R.C.M.) 1115, *Manual for Courts-Martial, United States* (2024 ed.), Appellant moves to withdraw his case from appellate review. Appellant has consulted fully with Dwight H. Sullivan, his appellate defense counsel, regarding this motion to withdraw. No person has compelled, coerced, or induced Appellant by force, promises of clemency, or otherwise, to withdraw his case from appellate review.

Further, pursuant to Rules 23(b) of the JRAP and Rule 23.3(b) of this Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, undersigned counsel asks this Court to attach the two-page document appended to this motion to the record of this proceeding. The appended document, Appellant’s completed DD Form 2330, Waiver/Withdrawal of Appellate Rights in General and Special Courts-Martial Subject to Review by a Court of Criminal Appeals, is necessary to comply with R.C.M. 1115(d) and Rule 16.1 of this Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Court grant this motion to withdraw from appellate review and attach matters to the record.

Respectfully submitted,




Dwight H. Sullivan
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762
(240) 612-4770
Email: dwight.sullivan.1@us.af.mil



CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing was sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 15 December 2025.

Respectfully submitted,



Dwight H. Sullivan
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division