

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES)	NOTICE OF DIRECT APPEAL
<i>Appellee</i>)	PURSUANT TO ARTICLE
)	66(b)(1)(A)
v.)	
)	
Senior Airman (E-4))	No. ACM _____
WALTER D. MOORE)	
United States Air Force)	5 May 2025
<i>Appellant</i>)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

On 29 October 2024, at special court-martial consisting of a military judge sitting alone at Spangdahlem Air Base, Germany convicted Senior Airman (SrA) Walter D. Moore, consistent with his pleas, of one charge with one specification of attempted unlawful entry in violation of Article 80, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ); of one charge with one specification of violating an lawful order in violation of Article 92, UCMJ; and of one charge with one specification of stalking in violation of Article 130, UCMJ. Record of Trial (ROT), Vol. 1, *Entry of Judgment*, dated 16 December 2024; Record (R.) at 105. The military judge sentenced SrA Moore to be reprimand, reduced to the grade of E-2, forfeit \$750.00 of his pay per month for two months, and 75 days confinement.¹ R. at 124. The convening authority took no action on the finding or sentence. ROT, Vol. 1, *Convening Authority Decision on Action – United States v. SrA Walter D. Moore*, dated 6 December 2024.

On 4 February 2025, the Government sent SrA Moore the required notice by mail of his right to appeal within 90 days. Pursuant to Article 66(b)(1)(A), UCMJ, 10 U.S.C. § 866(b)(1)(A),

¹ For the Specification of Charge I, SrA Moore was sentenced to 75 days confinement. For the Specification of Charge II, SrA Moore was sentenced to 45 days confinement. For Specification of Charge III, SrA Moore was sentenced to 45 days confinement. All confinement was to run concurrently. R. at 124.

SrA Moore files his notice of direct appeal with this Court.

Respectfully submitted,



JOYCLIN N. WEBSTER, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604
Office: (240) 612-4770
Email: joyclin.webster.1@us.af.mil

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 5 May 2025.

Respectfully submitted,



JOYCLIN N. WEBSTER, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604
Office: (240) 612-4770
Email: joyclin.webster.1@us.af.mil

**UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

UNITED STATES)	No. ACM _____
<i>Appellee</i>)	
)	
v.)	
)	
Walter D. MOORE)	NOTICE OF
Senior Airman (E-4))	DOCKETING
U.S. Air Force)	
<i>Appellant</i>)	

On 5 May 2025, this court received a notice of direct appeal from Appellant in the above-styled case, pursuant to Article 66(b)(1)(A), Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), 10 U.S.C. § 866(b)(1)(A).

As of the date of this notice, the court has not received a record of trial in Appellant’s case.

Pursuant to Rule 18(d)(2) of the Joint Rules of Appellate Procedure for Courts of Criminal Appeals, “[a]s soon as practicable after the filing of a Notice of Appeal, the [G]overnment shall provide the Court a complete record, including a verbatim transcript, and provide a copy to the defense. An appellant’s brief shall be filed no later than 60 days thereafter.” JT. CT. CRIM. APP. R. 18(d)(2) (as amended 17 May 2024). “[T]he record shall be the contents described in [Rule for Courts-Martial (R.C.M.)] 1112(b) as certified under R.C.M. 1112(c), the attachments for appellate review described in R.C.M. 1112(f), and . . . documents germane to timeliness of the appeal under Article 66(c)(1), UCMJ.” JT. CT. CRIM. APP. R. 6(a)(1).

The court defers decision with regard to timeliness of Appellant’s appeal pending receipt of the record of trial. *See* Article 66(c), UCMJ.

Accordingly, it is by the court on this 5th day of May, 2025,

ORDERED:

The case in the above-styled matter is referred to Panel 1.

It is further ordered:

The Government will forward a copy of the record of trial to Appellant and the court “as soon as practicable.” *See* JT. CT. CRIM. APP. R. 6(a)(1); 18(d)(2).



FOR THE COURT



OLGA STANFORD, Capt, USAF
Chief Commissioner

**UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

UNITED STATES)	No. ACM 20528
<i>Appellee</i>)	
)	
v.)	
)	ORDER
Walter D. MOORE)	
Senior Airman (E-4))	
U.S. Air Force)	
<i>Appellant</i>)	Panel 1

On 30 June 2025, counsel for Appellant submitted a Motion for Enlargement of Time (First), requesting an additional 60 days to submit Appellant's assignments of error. The Government opposes the motion.

The court has considered Appellant's motion, the Government's opposition, case law, and this court's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Accordingly, it is by the court on this 3d day of July, 2025,

ORDERED:

Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time (First) is **GRANTED**. Appellant shall file any assignments of error not later than **27 September 2025**.

Each request for an enlargement of time will be considered on its merits. Appellant's counsel is advised that any subsequent motions for enlargement of time shall include, in addition to matters required under this court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, statements as to: (1) whether Appellant was advised of Appellant's right to a timely appeal, (2) whether Appellant was provided an update of the status of counsel's progress on Appellant's case, (3) whether Appellant was advised of the request for an enlargement of time, and (4) whether Appellant agrees with the request for an enlargement of time.



FOR THE COURT



OLGA STANFORD, Capt, USAF
Chief Commissioner

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF
<i>Appellee,</i>)	TIME (FIRST)
)	
v.)	Before Panel No. 1
)	
Senior Airman (E-4))	No. ACM 20528
WALTER D. MOORE,)	
United States Air Force,)	30 June 2025
<i>Appellant.</i>)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**


Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(1) and 23.3(m)(2) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for an enlargement of time to file an Assignments of Error (AOE). Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 60 days, which will end on **27 September 2025**.

Appellant’s direct appeal was docketed with this Court on 5 May 2025. At the time of docketing, the Court had not received the record of trial and ordered it delivered as soon as practicable. *Notice of Docketing*, dated 5 May 2025. The Government delivered the record of trial to the Court on 30 May 2025, **25 days** after docketing. Sixty days from 30 May 2025 is 29 July 2025, the deadline for Appellant’s AOE brief, absent any EOTs. A.F. Ct. Crim. App. R. 18(d).

From the date of docketing, 5 May 2025, to the present date, 56 days have elapsed. From the date of the record of trial was referred to the Court, 30 May 2025, to the present date, 31 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 120 days will have elapsed from the date the Court received the record of trial and 145 days will have elapsed since docketing.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time.

Respectfully submitted,



JOYCLIN N. WEBSTER, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604
Office: (240) 612-4770
Email: joyclin.webster.1@us.af.mil

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 30 June 2025.

Respectfully submitted,



JOYCLIN N. WEBSTER, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604
Office: (240) 612-4770
Email: joyclin.webster.1@us.af.mil


IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
)	OF TIME
v.)	
)	
)	Before Panel No. 1
Senior Airman (E-4))	
WALTER D. MOORE,)	No. ACM 20528
United States Air Force,)	
<i>Appellant.</i>)	
)	1 July 2025

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignments of Error in this case.


WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.



VANESSA BAIROS, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate
United States Air Force
(240) 612-4800

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force
Appellate Defense Division on 1 July 2025.



VANESSA BAIROS, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate
United States Air Force
(240) 612-4800

**UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

UNITED STATES)	No. ACM 25028
<i>Appellee</i>)	
)	
v.)	
)	NOTICE OF PANEL CHANGE
Walter D. MOORE)	
Senior Airman (E-4))	
U.S. Air Force)	
<i>Appellant</i>)	

It is by the court on this 4th day of August, 2025,

ORDERED:

That the Record of Trial in the above-styled matter is withdrawn from Panel 1 and referred to Panel 3 for appellate review.

This panel letter supersedes all previous panel assignments.



FOR THE COURT



AGNIESZKA M. GAERTNER, Capt, USAF
Commissioner

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF
<i>Appellee,</i>)	TIME (SECOND)
)	
v.)	Before Panel No. 3
)	
Senior Airman (E-4))	No. ACM 20528
WALTER D. MOORE,)	
United States Air Force,)	19 September 2025
<i>Appellant.</i>)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(1) and 23.3(m)(3) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for an enlargement of time to file an Assignments of Error (AOE). Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 30 days, which will end on **27 October 2025**.

Appellant’s direct appeal was docketed with this Court on 5 May 2025. At the time of docketing, the Court had not received the record of trial and ordered it delivered as soon as practicable. *Notice of Docketing*, dated 5 May 2025. The Government delivered the record of trial to the Court on 30 May 2025, **25 days** after docketing. Pursuant to Rule 18(d) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 30 May 2025 is the appropriate date to use when computing the timing of Appellant’s filings.

From the date of docketing, 5 May 2025, to the present date, 137 days have elapsed. From the date of the record of trial was referred to the Court, 30 May 2025, to the present date, 112 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 150 days will have elapsed from the date the Court received the record of trial and 175 days will have elapsed since docketing.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time.

Respectfully submitted,



JOYCLIN N. WEBSTER, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604
Office: (240) 612-4770
Email: joyclin.webster.1@us.af.mil

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 19 September 2025.

Respectfully submitted,



JOYCLIN N. WEBSTER, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604
Office: (240) 612-4770
Email: joyclin.webster.1@us.af.mil


IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
)	OF TIME
v.)	
)	
)	Before Panel No. 3
Senior Airman (E-4))	
WALTER D. MOORE,)	No. ACM 25028
United States Air Force,)	
<i>Appellant.</i>)	
)	19 September 2025

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignments of Error in this case.


WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.



VANESSA BAIROS, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate
United States Air Force
(240) 612-4800

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force
Appellate Defense Division on 19 September 2025.



VANESSA BAIROS, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate
United States Air Force
(240) 612-4800

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF
<i>Appellee,</i>)	TIME (SECOND) OOT¹
)	
v.)	Before Panel No. 3
)	
Senior Airman (E-4))	No. ACM 25028 ²
WALTER D. MOORE,)	
United States Air Force,)	24 September 2025
<i>Appellant.</i>)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(1) and 23.3(m)(3) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for an enlargement of time to file an Assignments of Error (AOE). Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 30 days, which will end on **27 October 2025**.

Appellant’s direct appeal was docketed with this Court on 5 May 2025. At the time of docketing, the Court had not received the record of trial and ordered it delivered as soon as practicable. *Notice of Docketing*, dated 5 May 2025. The Government delivered the record of trial to the Court on 30 May 2025, **25 days** after docketing. Pursuant to Rule 18(d) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 30 May 2025 is the appropriate date to use when computing the timing of Appellant’s filings.

From the date of docketing, 5 May 2025, to the present date, 142 days have elapsed. From the date of the record of trial was referred to the Court, 30 May 2025, to the present date, 117

¹ A motion for enlargement of time was timely filed on 19 September 2025; however, it was subsequently denied on 24 September 2025. Accordingly, Counsel now submits an out of time motion for enlargement of time. This out of time submission was neither intentional nor made in bad faith, and the Appellant bears no responsibility for the out of time submission.


² Previous filings in this case referenced ACM 20528, which was the docket number initially provided by the Appellate Records Branch upon delivery of the Record of Trial to the Appellate Defense Division. However, that number was the result of a scrivener’s error. The correct docket number for this case is ACM 25028.

days have elapsed. On the date requested, 150 days will have elapsed from the date the Court received the record of trial and 175 days will have elapsed since docketing.

Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been unable to complete her review and prepare a brief for Appellant's case. An enlargement of time is necessary to allow counsel to fully review Appellant's case and advise Appellant regarding potential errors. Appellant was advised on his right to a timely appeal, was provided an update of the status of counsel's progress on Appellant's case, was consulted with regard to enlargements of time, and agrees with necessary requests for enlargements of time, including this request.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time.

Respectfully submitted,



JOYCLIN N. WEBSTER, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604
Office: (240) 612-4770
Email: joyclin.webster.1@us.af.mil

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 24 September 2025.

Respectfully submitted,



JOYCLIN N. WEBSTER, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604
Office: (240) 612-4770
Email: joyclin.webster.1@us.af.mil


IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
)	OF TIME OUT OF TIME
v.)	
)	
)	Before Panel No. 3
Senior Airman (E-4))	
WALTER D. MOORE,)	No. ACM 25028
United States Air Force,)	
<i>Appellant.</i>)	
)	25 September 2025

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time Out of Time to file an Assignments of Error in this case.


WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.



VANESSA BAIROS, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate
United States Air Force
(240) 612-4800

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force
Appellate Defense Division on 25 September 2025.



VANESSA BAIROS, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate
United States Air Force
(240) 612-4800

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF
<i>Appellee,</i>)	TIME (THIRD)
)	
v.)	Before Panel No. 3
)	
Senior Airman (E-4))	No. ACM 25028 ¹
WALTER D. MOORE,)	
United States Air Force,)	17 October 2025
<i>Appellant.</i>)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(1) and 23.3(m)(3) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for an enlargement of time to file an Assignments of Error (AOE). Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 30 days, which will end on **26 November 2025**.

Appellant’s direct appeal was docketed with this Court on 5 May 2025. At the time of docketing, the Court had not received the record of trial and ordered it delivered as soon as practicable. *Notice of Docketing*, dated 5 May 2025. The Government delivered the record of trial to the Court on 30 May 2025, **25 days** after docketing. Pursuant to Rule 18(d) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 30 May 2025 is the appropriate date to use when computing the timing of Appellant’s filings.

From the date of docketing, 5 May 2025, to the present date, 165 days have elapsed. From the date of the record of trial was referred to the Court, 30 May 2025, to the present date, 140

¹ Previous filings in this case referenced ACM 20528, which was the docket number initially provided by the Appellate Records Branch upon delivery of the Record of Trial to the Appellate Defense Division. However, that number was the result of a scrivener’s error. The correct docket number for this case is ACM 25028.

days have elapsed. On the date requested, 180 days will have elapsed from the date the Court received the record of trial and 205 days will have elapsed since docketing.

From 29 October 2024, Appellant was tried and sentenced by a military judge sitting as a special court-martial at Spangdahlem Air Base, Germany. Record of Trial (ROT), Entry of Judgment. Consistent with his pleas, Appellant was found guilty of one charge and one specification of attempted unlawful entry in violation of Article 80, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ); one charge and one specification of violating a lawful order in violation of Article 92, UCMJ; one charge and one specification of stalking in violation of Article 130, UCMJ. *Id.*; R. at 709. Appellant was sentenced to be reprimanded and sixty days hard labor without confinement. R. at 768.


The Convening Authority took no action on the findings and approved the sentence in its entirety. Convening Authority Decision on Action.

The electronic ROT is 4 volumes and consists of 10 Prosecution Exhibits, 27 Defense Exhibits, and 72 Appellate Exhibits; the transcript is 768 pages. Appellant is not currently confined. Counsel has not reviewed the record of trial in this case.

Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been unable to complete her review and prepare a brief for Appellant's case. An enlargement of time is necessary to allow counsel to fully review Appellant's case and advise Appellant regarding potential errors. Appellant was advised on his right to a timely appeal, was provided an update of the status of counsel's progress on Appellant's case, was consulted with regard to enlargements of time, and agrees with necessary requests for enlargements of time, including this request.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time.

Respectfully submitted,



JOYCLIN N. WEBSTER, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604
Office: (240) 612-4770
Email: joyclin.webster.1@us.af.mil

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 17 October 2025.

Respectfully submitted,



JOYCLIN N. WEBSTER, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604
Office: (240) 612-4770
Email: joyclin.webster.1@us.af.mil


IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
)	OF TIME
v.)	
)	
)	Before Panel No. 3
Senior Airman (E-4))	
WALTER D. MOORE,)	No. ACM 25028
United States Air Force,)	
<i>Appellant.</i>)	
)	20 October 2025

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.


WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.



VANESSA BAIROS, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate
United States Air Force
(240) 612-4800

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force
Appellate Defense Division on 20 October 2025.



VANESSA BAIROS, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate
United States Air Force
(240) 612-4800

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF
<i>Appellee,</i>)	TIME (FOURTH)
)	
v.)	Before Panel No. 3
)	
Senior Airman (E-4))	No. ACM 25028 ¹
WALTER D. MOORE,)	
United States Air Force,)	17 November 2025
<i>Appellant.</i>)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(1) and 23.3(m)(3) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for an enlargement of time to file an Assignments of Error (AOE). Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 30 days, which will end on **26 December 2025**.

Appellant’s direct appeal was docketed with this Court on 5 May 2025. At the time of docketing, the Court had not received the record of trial and ordered it delivered as soon as practicable. *Notice of Docketing*, dated 5 May 2025. The Government delivered the record of trial to the Court on 30 May 2025, **25 days** after docketing. Pursuant to Rule 18(d) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 30 May 2025 is the appropriate date to use when computing the timing of Appellant’s filings.

From the date of docketing, 5 May 2025, to the present date, 196 days have elapsed. From the date of the record of trial was referred to the Court, 30 May 2025, to the present date, 171

¹ Previous filings in this case referenced ACM 20528, which was the docket number initially provided by the Appellate Records Branch upon delivery of the Record of Trial to the Appellate Defense Division. However, that number was the result of a scrivener’s error. The correct docket number for this case is ACM 25028.

days have elapsed. On the date requested, 210 days will have elapsed from the date the Court received the record of trial and 235 days will have elapsed since docketing.

From 29 October 2024, Appellant was tried and sentenced by a military judge sitting as a special court-martial at Spangdahlem Air Base, Germany. Record of Trial (ROT), Entry of Judgment. Consistent with his pleas, Appellant was found guilty of one charge and one specification of attempted unlawful entry in violation of Article 80, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ); one charge and one specification of violating a lawful order in violation of Article 92, UCMJ; one charge and one specification of stalking in violation of Article 130, UCMJ. *Id.*; R. at 709. Appellant was sentenced to be reprimanded and sixty days hard labor without confinement. R. at 768.

The Convening Authority took no action on the findings and approved the sentence in its entirety. Convening Authority Decision on Action.

The electronic ROT is four volumes and consists of ten Prosecution Exhibits, twenty-seven Defense Exhibits, and seventy-two Appellate Exhibits; the transcript is 768 pages. Appellant is not currently confined. Counsel has not reviewed the record of trial in this case.

The undersigned counsel is currently assigned twenty-six cases; twenty-one cases are pending before this Court (fourteen cases are pending AOE's). To date, eight cases before this Court have priority over the present case:

1. *United States v. Szabo*, No. ACM 40590 - The ROT is twelve volume and consists of seven Prosecution Exhibits, thirty-five Defense Exhibits, and 121 Appellate Exhibits; the transcript is 1495 pages. Appellant is not currently confined. Counsel is currently reviewing the ROT in this case.

2. *United States v. Lucas*, No. ACM 40702 - The electronic ROT is five volumes and consists of eleven Prosecution Exhibits, three Defense Exhibits, and sixteen Appellate Exhibits; the transcript is 187 pages. Appellant is not currently confined. Counsel has not yet reviewed the ROT in this case.

3. *United States v. Kelly*, No. ACM 40710 - The electronic ROT is one volume and consists of six Prosecution Exhibits, eight Defense Exhibits, and twelve Appellate Exhibits; the transcript is 172 pages. Appellant is not currently confined. Counsel has not yet reviewed the ROT in this case.

4. *United States v. Lumm*, No. ACM 40752 - The electronic record of trial is one volume and consists of four Prosecution Exhibits, fourteen Defense Exhibits, seven Appellate Exhibits, and one Court Exhibit; the transcript is 111 pages. Appellant is not currently confined. Counsel has not yet reviewed the record of trial in this case. Counsel has not yet reviewed the ROT in this case.

5. *United States v. Gonzaga*, No. ACM 40744 – The electronic ROT is one volume and consists of twenty-four Prosecution Exhibits, one Defense Exhibit, thirty-six Appellate Exhibits, and five Court Exhibits; the transcript is 490 pages. Appellant is currently confined. Counsel has not yet reviewed the ROT in this case.

6. *United States v. Lowery*, No. ACM 40781 - The electronic ROT is seven volumes and consists of thirty-one Prosecution Exhibits, thirteen Defense Exhibits, and forty-two Appellate Exhibits. The total length of the ROT is 4529 pages; the transcript is 2491 pages. Appellant is not currently confined. Counsel has not yet reviewed the ROT in this case.

7. *United States v. Byrd*, No. ACM S32814 – The electronic ROT is one volume, and a separate file folder containing twenty-one audio recordings. The electronic record of trial


consists of forty Prosecution Exhibits, two Defense Exhibits, and forty-four Appellate Exhibits; the transcript is 615 pages. Appellant is not currently confined. Counsel has not yet reviewed the ROT in this case.

8. *United States v. Carlisle*, No. ACM 40784 – The electronic ROT is 28 volumes and consists of 4 Prosecution Exhibits, 9 Defense Exhibits, 35 Appellate Exhibits, and is 2307 pages; the transcript is 273 pages. Appellant is not currently confined. Counsel has not yet reviewed the ROT in this case.

Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been unable to complete her review and prepare a brief for Appellant’s case. An enlargement of time is necessary to allow counsel to fully review Appellant’s case and advise Appellant regarding potential errors. Appellant was advised on his right to a timely appeal, was provided an update of the status of counsel’s progress on Appellant’s case, was consulted with regard to enlargements of time, and agrees with necessary requests for enlargements of time, including this request.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time.

Respectfully submitted,



JOYCLIN N. WEBSTER, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604
Office: (240) 612-4770
Email: joyclin.webster.1@us.af.mil

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 17 November 2025.

Respectfully submitted,



JOYCLIN N. WEBSTER, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604
Office: (240) 612-4770
Email: joyclin.webster.1@us.af.mil


IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
v.)	OF TIME
)	
Senior Airman (E-4))	Before Panel No. 3
WALTER D. MOORE,)	
United States Air Force,)	No. ACM 25028
<i>Appellant.</i>)	
)	19 November 2025
)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.


WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.



KATE E. LEE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial & Appellate Operations
1500 W. Perimeter Road, Suite 1190
Joint Base Andrews, MD
DSN: 612-4804

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force Appellate Defense Division on 19 November 2025.



KATE E. LEE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial & Appellate Operations
1500 W. Perimeter Road, Suite 1190
Joint Base Andrews, MD
DSN: 612-4804

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS


UNITED STATES,)	MERITS BRIEF
<i>Appellee,</i>)	
)	
v.)	Before Panel No. 3
)	
Senior Airman (E-4),)	No. ACM 25028
WALTER D. MOORE,)	
United States Air Force,)	26 November 2025
<i>Appellant.</i>)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Submission of Case Without Specific Assignments of Error

The undersigned appellate defense counsel attests that he has, on behalf of Senior Airman Walter D. Moore, Appellant, carefully examined the record of trial in this case. Appellant does not admit the findings and sentence are correct in law and fact, but submits the case to this Honorable Court on its merits with no specific assignments of error.

Respectfully submitted,



Dwight H. Sullivan
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762
(240) 612-4770
Email: dwight.sullivan.1@us.af.mil
Maryland Attorney ID No. 8612010505

Counsel for Appellant

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing was sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 26 November 2025.

Respectfully submitted,



Dwight H. Sullivan
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762
(240) 612-4770
Email: dwight.sullivan.1@us.af.mil
Maryland Attorney ID No. 8612010505

Counsel for Appellant