

**UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

UNITED STATES	)	No. ACM 40873
<i>Appellee</i>	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	ORDER
Chase G. HOLST	)	
Senior Airman (E-4)	)	
U.S. Air Force	)	
<i>Appellant</i>	)	Panel 3

On 9 December 2025, counsel for Appellant submitted a Motion for Enlargement of Time (First), requesting an additional 60 days in which to file Appellant's assignments of error. The Government opposes the motion.

The court has considered Appellant's motion, the Government's opposition, case law, and this court's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Accordingly, it is by the court on this 11th day of December 2025,

ORDERED:

Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time (First) is **GRANTED**. Appellant shall file any assignments of error not later than **17 February 2026**.

Appellant's counsel is advised that any subsequent motions for enlargement of time shall include, in addition to matters required under this court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, statements as to: (1) whether Appellant was advised of Appellant's right to a timely appeal, (2) whether Appellant was provided an update of the status of counsel's progress on Appellant's case, (3) whether Appellant was advised of the request for an enlargement of time, and (4) whether Appellant agrees with the request for an enlargement of time.



FOR THE COURT

[Redacted signature block]

JACOB B. HOEFERKAMP, Capt, USAF
Chief Commissioner

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES

Appellee,

v.

Senior Airman (E-4)

CHASE G. HOLST,

United States Air Force

Appellant.

) **APPELLANT’S MOTION FOR**
) **ENLARGEMENT OF TIME (FIRST)**

)

) Before Panel No. 3

)

) No. ACM 40873

)

) 9 December 2025

)

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rules 23.3(m)(1) and (2) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Senior Airman Chase G. Holst, (Appellant) hereby moves for a first enlargement of time to file an assignments of error brief. Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of sixty days, which will end on **17 February 2026**. The record of trial was docketed with this Court on 20 October 2025. From the date of docketing to the present date, fifty days have elapsed. On the date requested, 120 days will have elapsed.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested first enlargement of time.

[REDACTED]

JOSHUA L. LOPES, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing was sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 9 December 2025.

Respectfully Submitted,

[REDACTED]

JOSHUA L. LOPES, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,	)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
	)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
v.	)	OF TIME
	)	
Senior Airman (E-4)	)	Before Panel No. 3
CHASE G. HOLST,	)	
United States Air Force,	)	No. ACM 40873
<i>Appellant.</i>	)	
	)	10 December 2025
	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.



KATE E. LEE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel



CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force
Appellate Defense Division on 10 December 2025.



KATE E. LEE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel



**UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

UNITED STATES	)	No. ACM 40873
<i>Appellee</i>	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	NOTICE OF PANEL
Chase G. HOLST	)	CHANGE
Senior Airman (E-4)	)	
U.S. Air Force	)	
<i>Appellant</i>	)	

It is by the court on this 15th day of December, 2025,

ORDERED:

That the Record of Trial in the above-styled matter is withdrawn from Panel 3 and referred to Panel 2 for appellate review.

This panel letter supersedes all previous panel assignments.



JACOB B. HOEFERKAMP, Capt, USAF
Chief Commissioner

UNITED STATES) APPELLANT’S MOTION FOR
Appellee,) ENLARGEMENT OF TIME
) (SECOND)
v.)
) Before Panel No. 2
Senior Airman (E-4))
CHASE G. HOLST,) No. ACM 40873
United States Air Force)
Appellant.) 6 February 2026

Pursuant to Rules 23.3(m)(3) and (4) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Senior Airman Chase G. Holst, (Appellant) hereby moves for an enlargement of time to file an Assignments of Error brief. Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of thirty days, which will end on **19 March 2026**. The record of trial was docketed with this Court on 20 October 2025. From the date of docketing to the present date, 109 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 150 days will have elapsed.

On 14 May 2025, a general court-martial consisting of a military judge alone, convicted Appellant, consistent with his pleas, of two specifications of possessing child pornography, in violation of Article 134, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), 10 U.S.C. § 934. R. at 115¹; Charge Sheet; Entry of Judgment (EOJ).² The military judge sentenced Appellant to be reduced to the grade of E-1, to be confined for 15 months, and to be dishonorably discharged from the service.

² One additional specification in violation of Article 134, UCMJ, was withdrawn and dismissed pursuant to a plea agreement. R. at 162; EOJ.

Id. The convening authority took no action on the findings or sentence. Convening Authority Decision on Action – *United States v. Senior Airman Chase Holst*.

Appellant's electronic record of trial is 1 volume consisting of 5 prosecution exhibits, 9 defense exhibits, and 20 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 163 pages. Appellant is confined.

Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been unable to complete his review and prepare a brief for Appellant's case. An enlargement of time is necessary to allow counsel to advise Appellant of potential issues and prepare a brief for Appellant's case. Appellant was advised of his right to a timely appeal, was provided an update of the status of counsel's progress on Appellant's case, was advised of this request for an enlargement of time, and expressed agreement with necessary requests for enlargements of time, to include this request.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested second enlargement of time.

[REDACTED]

JOSHUA L. LOPES, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing was sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 6 February 2026.

Respectfully Submitted,

[REDACTED]

JOSHUA L. LOPES, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]


IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,	)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
	)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
v.	)	OF TIME
	)	
Senior Airman (E-4)	)	Before Panel No. 2
CHASE G. HOLST,	)	
United States Air Force,	)	No. ACM 40873
<i>Appellant.</i>	)	
	)	10 February 2026
	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.




KATE E. LEE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel



CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force
Appellate Defense Division on 10 February 2026.



KATE E. LEE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel



UNITED STATES) APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR
Appellee,) ENLARGEMENT OF TIME (THIRD)
)
v.) Before Panel No. 2
)
Senior Airman (E-4)) No. ACM 40873
CHASE G. HOLST,)
United States Air Force) 9 March 2026
Appellant.)

Pursuant to Rules 23.3(m)(1), (3), and (4) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Senior Airman Chase G. Holst, (Appellant) hereby moves for an enlargement of time to file an Assignments of Error brief. Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of thirty days, which will end on **18 April 2026**. The record of trial was docketed with this Court on 20 October 2025. From the date of docketing to the present date, 140 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 180 days will have elapsed.

On 14 May 2025, a general court-martial consisting of a military judge alone, convicted Appellant, consistent with his pleas, of two specifications of possessing child pornography, in violation of Article 134, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), 10 U.S.C. § 934. R. at 115¹; Charge Sheet; Entry of Judgment (EOJ).² The military judge sentenced Appellant to be reduced to the grade of E-1, to be confined for 15 months, and to be dishonorably discharged from the service.

² One additional specification in violation of Article 134, UCMJ, was withdrawn and dismissed pursuant to a plea agreement. R. at 162; EOJ.

Id. The convening authority took no action on the findings or sentence. Convening Authority Decision on Action – *United States v. Senior Airman Chase Holst*.

Appellant's electronic record of trial is 1 volume consisting of 5 prosecution exhibits, 9 defense exhibits, and 20 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 163 pages. Appellant is confined.

Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been unable to complete his review and prepare a brief for Appellant's case. An enlargement of time is necessary to allow counsel to advise Appellant of potential issues and prepare a brief for Appellant's case. Appellant was advised of his right to a timely appeal, was provided an update of the status of counsel's progress on Appellant's case, was advised of this request for an enlargement of time, and expressed agreement with necessary requests for enlargements of time, to include this request.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested third enlargement of time.

[REDACTED]

JOSHUA L. LOPES, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing was sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 9 March 2026.

Respectfully Submitted,

[REDACTED]

JOSHUA L. LOPES, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]


IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,	)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
	)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
v.	)	OF TIME
	)	
Senior Airman (E-4)	)	Before Panel No. 2
CHASE G. HOLST,	)	
United States Air Force,	)	No. ACM 40873
<i>Appellant.</i>	)	
	)	11 March 2026
	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.




KATE E. LEE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel



CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force
Appellate Defense Division on 11 March 2026.



KATE E. LEE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel



UNITED STATES) APPELLANT’S MOTION FOR
Appellee,) ENLARGEMENT OF TIME
) (FOURTH)
v.)
) Before Panel No. 2
Senior Airman (E-4))
CHASE G. HOLST,) No. ACM 40873
United States Air Force)
Appellant.) 8 April 2026

Pursuant to Rules 23.3(m)(1), (3), and (4) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Senior Airman Chase G. Holst, (Appellant) hereby moves for an enlargement of time to file an Assignments of Error brief. Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of thirty days, which will end on **18 May 2026**. The record of trial was docketed with this Court on 20 October 2025. From the date of docketing to the present date, 170 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 210 days will have elapsed.

On 14 May 2025, a general court-martial consisting of a military judge alone, convicted Appellant, consistent with his pleas, of two specifications of possessing child pornography, in violation of Article 134, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), 10 U.S.C. § 934. R. at 115¹; Charge Sheet; Entry of Judgment (EOJ).² The military judge sentenced Appellant to be reduced to the grade of E-1, to be confined for 15 months, and to be dishonorably discharged from the service.

² One additional specification in violation of Article 134, UCMJ, was withdrawn and dismissed pursuant to a plea agreement. R. at 162; EOJ.

Id. The convening authority took no action on the findings or sentence. Convening Authority Decision on Action – *United States v. Senior Airman Chase Holst*.

Appellant’s electronic record of trial is 1 volume consisting of 5 prosecution exhibits, 9 defense exhibits, and 20 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 163 pages. Appellant is confined.

Pursuant to A.F. Ct. Crim. App. R. 23.3(m)(6), undersigned counsel also provides the following information: undersigned counsel is currently assigned thirty-four cases; twenty-six of these cases are pending briefs before this Court. Fifteen cases have priority over the present case:

1. *United States v. Slayton*, Dkt. No. 26-0077/AF, ACM No. 40583 – This case is pending before the Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces (CAAF). Oral argument was scheduled for 29 April 2026. On 2 April 2026 the CAAF informed undersigned counsel that the CAAF would not be holding oral argument on 29 April 2026 and has not indicated whether oral argument would be rescheduled for a new date.
2. *United States v. Robinson*, ACM No. 24044 (f rev) – The record of trial contains 10 volumes consisting of 22 prosecution exhibits, 8 defense exhibits, and 58 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 1,112 pages. SSgt Robinson is not confined as the result of his court-martial conviction.
3. *United States v. Anderson*, ACM No. 40654 – SSgt Anderson’s reply to the Government’s Answer is due on 9 April 2026. SSgt Anderson is confined.
4. *United States v. Kelly*, ACM No. 40710 – The record of trial contains 6 volumes consisting of 19 prosecution exhibits, 20 defense exhibits, and 30 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 657 pages. TSgt Kelly is not confined.

5. *United States v. Raines*, ACM No. 40765 – The record of trial contains 20 prosecution exhibits, 10 defense exhibits, and 143 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 2,444 pages. MSgt Raines is confined.
6. *United States v. Hennessy*, ACM No. 40439 (rem) – The record of trial was returned to this Court and docketed on 24 March 2026. This Court authorized counsel to submit briefs not later than 23 May 2026. A1C Hennessy is not confined.
7. *United States v. Bush*, ACM No. 40783 – The record of trial contains 9 volumes consisting of 20 prosecution exhibits, 5 defense exhibits, 1 court exhibit, and 45 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 1,782 pages. SrA Bush is confined.
8. *United States v. Marquez*, ACM No. 40800 – The record of trial contains 7 volumes consisting of 5 prosecution exhibits, 4 defense exhibits, 43 appellate exhibits, and 3 court exhibits; the transcript is 833 pages. SrA Marquez is confined.
9. *United States v. Jackson*, ACM No. S32819 – The record of trial is 1 volume consisting of 12 prosecution exhibits, 6 defense exhibits, 44 appellate exhibits, and 1 court exhibit; the transcript is 1,529 pages. A1C Jackson is not confined.
10. *United States v. Cannon*, ACM No. 40841 – The record of trial is 1 volume consisting of 2 prosecution exhibits, 6 defense exhibits, 61 appellate exhibits, and 1 court exhibit; the transcript is 848 pages. A1C Cannon is confined.
11. *United States v. Horne*, ACM No. S32825 – The record of trial is 2 volumes consisting of 8 prosecution exhibits, 6 defense exhibits, and 40 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 1,176. A1C Horne is not confined.

12. *United States v. Weaver*, ACM No. 40843 – The record of trial is 1 volume consisting of 3 prosecution exhibits and 6 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 159 pages. Lt Col Weaver is not confined.
13. *United States v. Flanagan*, ACM No. S32826 – The record of trial is 1 volume consisting of 2 prosecution exhibits, 19 defense exhibits, 17 appellate exhibits, and 1 court exhibit; the transcript is 175 pages. A1C Flanagan is not confined.
14. *United States v. McCay*, ACM No. 40869 – The record of trial contains 3 prosecution exhibits, 17 defense exhibits, and 6 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 142 pages. SrA McCay is not confined as a result of his court-martial conviction.
15. *United States v. Gerdes*, ACM No. S32836 – The record of trial is 1 volume consisting of 3 prosecution exhibits, 15 defense exhibits, and 3 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 96 pages. SrA Gerdes is not confined.

Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been unable to complete his review of and prepare a brief for Appellant's case. An enlargement of time is necessary to allow counsel to review Appellant's record of trial, advise Appellant of potential issues, and prepare a brief for Appellant's case. Appellant was advised of his right to a timely appeal, was provided an update of the status of counsel's progress on Appellant's case, was advised of this request for an enlargement of time, and expressed agreement with necessary requests for enlargements of time, to include this request.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time.

[REDACTED]

JOSHUA L. LOPES, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing was sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 8 April 2026.

Respectfully Submitted,

[REDACTED]

JOSHUA L. LOPES, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,
Appellee,

v.


Senior Airman (E-4)
CHASE G. HOLST,
United States Air Force,
Appellant.

) UNITED STATES' GENERAL
) OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
) MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
) OF TIME
)
) Before Panel No. 2
)
) No. ACM 40873
)
) 9 April 2026
)

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.




KATE E. LEE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel



CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force
Appellate Defense Division on 9 April 2026.



KATE E. LEE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel



UNITED STATES	)	APPELLANT’S MOTION FOR
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	ENLARGEMENT OF TIME (FIFTH)
	)	
v.	)	Before Panel No. 2
	)	
Senior Airman (E-4)	)	No. ACM 40873
CHASE G. HOLST,	)	
United States Air Force	)	11 May 2026
<i>Appellant.</i>	)	

Pursuant to Rules 23.3(m)(1), (3), and (4) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Senior Airman Chase G. Holst, (Appellant) hereby moves for an enlargement of time to file an Assignments of Error (AOE) brief. Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of thirty days, which will end on **17 June 2026**. The record of trial was docketed with this Court on 20 October 2025. From the date of docketing to the present date, 203 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 240 days will have elapsed.

On 14 May 2025, a general court-martial consisting of a military judge alone, convicted Appellant, consistent with his pleas, of two specifications of possessing child pornography, in violation of Article 134, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), 10 U.S.C. § 934. R. at 115¹; Charge Sheet; Entry of Judgment (EOJ).² The military judge sentenced Appellant to be reduced to the grade of E-1, to be confined for 15 months, and to be dishonorably discharged from the service.

² One additional specification in violation of Article 134, UCMJ, was withdrawn and dismissed pursuant to a plea agreement. R. at 162; EOJ.

Id. The convening authority took no action on the findings or sentence. Convening Authority Decision on Action – *United States v. Senior Airman Chase Holst*.

Appellant’s electronic record of trial is 1 volume consisting of 5 prosecution exhibits, 9 defense exhibits, and 20 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 163 pages. Appellant is confined.

Pursuant to A.F. Ct. Crim. App. R. 23.3(m)(6), undersigned counsel also provides the following information: undersigned counsel is currently assigned thirty-seven cases; thirty of these cases are pending briefs before this Court. Twelve cases have priority over the present case:

1. *United States v. Kelly*, ACM No. 40710 – The record of trial contains 6 volumes consisting of 19 prosecution exhibits, 20 defense exhibits, and 30 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 657 pages. TSgt Kelly is not confined. Undersigned counsel has fully reviewed TSgt Kelly’s record of trial and is drafting the AOE brief.
2. *United States v. Raines*, ACM No. 40765 – The record of trial contains 20 prosecution exhibits, 10 defense exhibits, and 143 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 2,444 pages. MSgt Raines is confined. Undersigned counsel has fully reviewed MSgt Raines’s record of trial and is drafting the AOE brief.
3. *United States v. Hennessy*, ACM No. 40439 (rem) – The record of trial was returned to this Court and docketed on 24 March 2026. This Court authorized counsel to submit briefs not later than 23 May 2026. A1C Hennessy is not confined. Undersigned counsel has fully reviewed A1C Hennessy’s record of trial and is drafting the brief on the specified issue.
4. *United States v. Bush*, ACM No. 40783 – The record of trial contains 9 volumes consisting of 20 prosecution exhibits, 5 defense exhibits, 1 court exhibit, and 45 appellate

exhibits; the transcript is 1,782 pages. SrA Bush is confined. Undersigned counsel has fully reviewed SrA Bush's record of trial and has identified multiple potential AOE's.

5. *United States v. Marquez*, ACM No. 40800 – The record of trial contains 7 volumes consisting of 5 prosecution exhibits, 4 defense exhibits, 43 appellate exhibits, and 3 court exhibits; the transcript is 833 pages. SrA Marquez is confined. Undersigned counsel has fully reviewed SrA Marquez's record of trial.
6. *United States v. Jackson*, ACM No. S32819 – The record of trial is 1 volume consisting of 12 prosecution exhibits, 6 defense exhibits, 44 appellate exhibits, and 1 court exhibit; the transcript is 1,529 pages. A1C Jackson is not confined. Undersigned counsel has fully reviewed A1C Jackson's record of trial.
7. *United States v. Cannon*, ACM No. 40841 – The record of trial is 1 volume consisting of 2 prosecution exhibits, 6 defense exhibits, 61 appellate exhibits, and 1 court exhibit; the transcript is 848 pages. A1C Cannon is confined.
8. *United States v. Horne*, ACM No. S32825 – The record of trial is 2 volumes consisting of 8 prosecution exhibits, 6 defense exhibits, and 40 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 1,176. A1C Horne is not confined.
9. *United States v. Weaver*, ACM No. 40843 – The record of trial is 1 volume consisting of 3 prosecution exhibits and 6 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 159 pages. Lt Col Weaver is not confined.
10. *United States v. Flanagan*, ACM No. S32826 – The record of trial is 1 volume consisting of 2 prosecution exhibits, 19 defense exhibits, 17 appellate exhibits, and 1 court exhibit; the transcript is 175 pages. A1C Flanagan is not confined.

11. *United States v. McCay*, ACM No. 40869 – The record of trial contains 3 prosecution exhibits, 17 defense exhibits, and 6 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 142 pages. SrA McCay is not confined as a result of his court-martial conviction.

12. *United States v. Gerdes*, ACM No. S32836 – The record of trial is 1 volume consisting of 3 prosecution exhibits, 15 defense exhibits, and 3 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 96 pages. SrA Gerdes is not confined.

Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been unable to complete his review of and prepare a brief for Appellant's case. An enlargement of time is necessary to allow counsel to review Appellant's record of trial, advise Appellant of potential issues, and prepare a brief for Appellant's case. Appellant was advised of his right to a timely appeal, was provided an update of the status of counsel's progress on Appellant's case, was advised of this request for an enlargement of time, and expressed agreement with necessary requests for enlargements of time, to include this request.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time.

[REDACTED]

JOSHUA L. LOPES, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing was sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 11 May 2026.

Respectfully Submitted,

[REDACTED]

JOSHUA L. LOPES, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,	)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
	)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
v.	)	OF TIME
	)	
Senior Airman (E-4)	)	Before Panel No. 2
CHASE G. HOLST,	)	
United States Air Force,	)	No. ACM 40873
<i>Appellant.</i>	)	
	)	12 May 2026
	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.



REGINA HENENLOTTER, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel, Government



CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force
Appellate Defense Division on 12 May 2026.



REGINA HENENLOTTER, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel, Government



**UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

UNITED STATES	)	No. ACM 40873
<i>Appellee</i>	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	ORDER
Chase G. HOLST	)	
Senior Airman (E-4)	)	
U.S. Air Force	)	
<i>Appellant</i>	)	Panel 2

On 5 June 2026, counsel for Appellant submitted a Motion for Enlargement of Time (Sixth), requesting an additional 30 days to submit Appellant's assignments of error. The Government opposes the motion.

The court has considered Appellant's motion, the Government's opposition, case law, and this court's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Accordingly, it is by the court on this 9th day of June, 2026,

ORDERED:

Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time (Sixth) is **GRANTED**. Appellant shall file any assignments of error not later than **17 July 2026**.

Appellant's counsel is advised that given the number of enlargements granted thus far, any further requests for an enlargement of time may necessitate a status conference to determine the progress being made on Appellant's case per this court's Rule 23.3(m)(6). A.F. CT. CRIM. APP. R. 23.3(m)(6).



JACOB B. HOEFERKAMP, Capt, USAF
Chief Commissioner

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES

Appellee,

V.

Senior Airman (E-4)

CHASE G. HOLST,

United States Air Force

Appellant.

**) APPELLANT’S MOTION FOR
) ENLARGEMENT OF TIME (SIXTH)**

) Before Panel No. 2

) No. ACM 40873

) 5 June 2026

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rules 23.3(m)(1), (3), and (4) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Senior Airman Chase G. Holst, (Appellant) hereby moves for an enlargement of time (EOT) to file an Assignments of Error (AOE) brief. Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of thirty days, which will end on **17 July 2026**. The record of trial (ROT) was docketed with this Court on 20 October 2025. From the date of docketing to the present date, 228 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 270 days will have elapsed.

On 14 May 2025, a general court-martial consisting of a military judge alone, convicted Appellant, consistent with his pleas, of two specifications of possessing child pornography, in violation of Article 134, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), 10 U.S.C. § 934. R. at 115¹; Charge Sheet; Entry of Judgment (EOJ).² The military judge sentenced Appellant to be reduced to the grade of E-1, to be confined for 15 months, and to be dishonorably discharged from the

¹ The trial transcript does not have pages numbers after page 37. The referenced page number citation is based on undersigned counsel's hand-counting.

² One additional specification in violation of Article 134, UCMJ, was withdrawn and dismissed pursuant to a plea agreement. R. at 162; EOJ.

service. *Id.* The convening authority took no action on the findings or sentence. Convening Authority Decision on Action – *United States v. Senior Airman Chase Holst.*

Appellant’s electronic ROT is 1 volume consisting of 5 prosecution exhibits, 9 defense exhibits, and 20 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 163 pages. Appellant is confined.

Pursuant to A.F. Ct. Crim. App. R. 23.3(m)(6), undersigned counsel also provides the following information: undersigned counsel is currently assigned forty-two cases; twenty-seven of these cases are pending briefs before this Court. Eight cases have priority over this case:

1. *United States v. Johnson*, No. 25-0202/AF – The Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces delivered its opinion in this case on 26 May 2026. SrA Johnson’s Petition for a Writ of Certiorari is due to the United States Supreme Court on 24 August 2026. SrA Johnson is not confined.
2. *United States v. Bush*, ACM No. 40783 – The ROT contains 9 volumes consisting of 20 prosecution exhibits, 5 defense exhibits, 1 court exhibit, and 45 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 1,782 pages. SrA Bush is confined. Undersigned counsel has fully reviewed SrA Bush’s record of trial and has begun drafting an AOE brief.
3. *United States v. Marquez*, ACM No. 40800 – The ROT contains 7 volumes consisting of 5 prosecution exhibits, 4 defense exhibits, 43 appellate exhibits, and 3 court exhibits; the transcript is 833 pages. SrA Marquez is confined. Undersigned counsel has fully reviewed SrA Marquez’s record of trial.
4. *United States v. Jackson*, ACM No. S32819 – The ROT is 1 volume consisting of 12 prosecution exhibits, 6 defense exhibits, 44 appellate exhibits, and 1 court exhibit; the transcript is 1,529 pages. A1C Jackson is not confined. Undersigned counsel has fully reviewed A1C Jackson’s record of trial.

5. *United States v. Cannon*, ACM No. 40841 – The ROT is 1 volume consisting of 2 prosecution exhibits, 6 defense exhibits, 61 appellate exhibits, and 1 court exhibit; the transcript is 848 pages. A1C Cannon is confined.
6. *United States v. Horne*, ACM No. S32825 – The ROT is 2 volumes consisting of 8 prosecution exhibits, 6 defense exhibits, and 40 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 1,176. A1C Horne is not confined.
7. *United States v. McCay*, ACM No. 40869 – The ROT contains 3 prosecution exhibits, 17 defense exhibits, and 6 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 142 pages. SrA McCay is not confined as a result of his court-martial conviction.
8. *United States v. Gerdes*, ACM No. S32836 – The ROT is 1 volume consisting of 3 prosecution exhibits, 15 defense exhibits, and 3 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 96 pages. SrA Gerdes is not confined.

Since filing the last motion for EOT in Appellant's case, undersigned counsel prepared and filed a brief on behalf of the appellant and a motion for oral argument in *United States v. Hennessy*, ACM No. 40439, prepared and filed a sixty-four page AOE brief in *United States v. Raines*, ACM No. 40765, and prepared and filed a fifty page AOE brief in *United States v. Kelly*, ACM No. 40710. Undersigned counsel has also filed seventeen motions for EOTs in other cases, requiring approximately nine hours of work.

Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been unable to complete his review of and prepare an AOE brief for Appellant's case. An EOT is necessary to allow counsel to review Appellant's ROT, advise Appellant of potential issues, and prepare a brief for Appellant's case. Appellant was advised of his right to a timely appeal, was provided an update

of the status of counsel's progress on Appellant's case, was advised of this request for an EOT, and expressed agreement with necessary requests for EOTs, to include this request.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time.

[REDACTED]


JOSHUA L. LOPES, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]


CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing was sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 5 June 2026.

Respectfully Submitted,



JOSHUA L. LOPES, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel



IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,	)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
	)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
v.	)	OF TIME
	)	
Senior Airman (E-4)	)	Before Panel No. 2
CHASE G. HOLST,	)	
United States Air Force,	)	No. ACM 40873
<i>Appellant.</i>	)	
	)	8 June 2026
	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.

[REDACTED]

REGINA HENENLOTTER, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel, [REDACTED]


[REDACTED]

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force
Appellate Defense Division on 8 June 2026.



REGINA HENENLOTTER, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel,



UNITED STATES) APPELLANT’S MOTION FOR
Appellee,) ENLARGEMENT OF TIME
) (SEVENTH)
v.)
) Before Panel No. 2
Senior Airman (E-4))
CHASE G. HOLST,) No. ACM 40873
United States Air Force)
Appellant.) 7 July 2026

Pursuant to Rules 23.3(m)(1), 24.3(a)(1), and 24.3(b) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for an enlargement of time (EOT) to file an Assignments of Error (AOE) brief. Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of thirty days, which will end on **16 August 2026**. The record of trial (ROT) was docketed with this Court on 20 October 2025. From the date of docketing to the present date, 260 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 300 days will have elapsed.

On 14 May 2025, Appellant was tried by a general court-martial composed of a military judge alone at Fairchild Air Force Base, Washington. Appellant was found guilty, in accordance with his pleas and pursuant to a plea agreement, of one charge with two specifications of possessing child pornography, in violation of Article 134, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), 10 U.S.C. § 934. Trial Tr. at 115¹; Charge Sheet; Entry of Judgment (EoJ); App. Ex. XVII.²

² One additional specification in violation of Article 134, UCMJ, was withdrawn and dismissed pursuant to a plea agreement. Trial Tr. at 162; EOJ.

The military judge sentenced Appellant to be reduced to the grade of E-1, to be confined for fifteen months, and to be dishonorably discharged from the service. *Id.* The convening authority took no action on the findings or sentence. Convening Authority Decision on Action – *United States v. Senior Airman Chase Holst.*

The trial transcript is 163 pages. The electronic ROT is 725 pages and contains 5 prosecution exhibits, 9 defense exhibits, and 20 appellate exhibits. Appellant is confined.

Pursuant to A.F. Ct. Crim. App. R. 23.3(m)(6), undersigned counsel also provides the following information: undersigned counsel is currently assigned forty-two cases; twenty-seven of these cases are pending briefs before this Court. Eight cases have priority over this case:

Pursuant to A.F. Ct. Crim. App. R. 24.3(b)(2), undersigned counsel provides the following information. Undersigned counsel is currently assigned forty-nine cases; thirty-nine cases are pending before this Court (thirty-two cases are pending initial or reply briefs), four cases are pending before the Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces (CAAF), four cases are pending before the United States Supreme Court (for petition for writ of certiorari), one case is at the trial level, and one is eligible for direct appeal and pending election decision. To date, undersigned counsel has the following cases prioritized over the present case:

1. *United States v. Griffin*, USCA Dkt. No. 26-0162 – The CAAF denied MSgt Griffin’s petition for review on 11 May 2026. MSgt Griffin’s Petition for Writ of Certiorari is due to the United States Supreme Court on 9 August 2026.

2. *United States v. Johnson*, USCA Dkt. 25-0202/AF – The CAAF delivered its opinion in this case on 26 May 2026. SrA Johnson’s Petition for Writ of Certiorari is due to the United States Supreme Court on 24 August 2026. SrA Johnson is not confined.

3. *United States v. Braum*, USCA Dkt. 25-0046/AF – The CAAF delivered its opinion in this case on 8 April 2026. Capt Braum’s Petition for Writ of Certiorari is due to the United States Supreme Court on 1 September 2026.

4. *United States v. Signer*, ACM 25021 – The Government’s answer to MSgt Signer’s AOE brief is currently due on 18 July 2026. MSgt Signer’s reply brief, if any, will be due to this Court on 25 July 2026.

5. *United States v. Washington*, ACM 39761 (rem) – The ROT was returned to this Court and docketed on 25 June 2026. This Court ordered that counsel may submit briefs to address two specified issues in light of the CAAF’s decision in this case no later than 26 July 2026. Undersigned counsel was detailed to this case on 22 June 2026 and has not completed a review of the record.

6. *United States v. Raines*, ACM 40765 – The Government’s answer to MSgt Raines’s AOE brief is currently due on 20 July 2026. MSgt Raines’ reply brief, if any, will be due to this Court on 27 July 2026.

7. *United States v. Burkhardt-Bauder*, ACM 24011 – The Government’s answer to SrA Burkhardt-Bauder’s AOE brief is currently due on 22 July 2026. SrA Burkhardt-Bauder’s reply brief, if any, will be due to this Court on 29 July 2026.

8. *United States v. Kelly*, ACM 40710 – The Government’s answer to TSgt Kelly’s AOE brief is due on 13 August 2026. TSgt Kelly’s reply brief, if any, will be due to this Court on 20 August 2026.

9. *United States v. Marschalek*, USCA Dkt. No. 26-0221/AF – The Government’s brief in support of TJAG’s certified issues is due to the CAAF on 24 July 2026. SSgt Marschalek’s answer brief will be due to the CAAF on 21 August 2026.

10. *United States v. Marquez*, ACM 40800 – The ROT contains 7 volumes consisting of 5 prosecution exhibits, 4 defense exhibits, 43 appellate exhibits, and 3 court exhibits; the transcript is 833 pages. SrA Marquez is confined. Undersigned counsel has fully reviewed SrA Marquez's ROT.

11. *United States v. Bush*, ACM 40783 – The ROT contains 9 volumes consisting of 20 prosecution exhibits, 5 defense exhibits, 1 court exhibit, and 45 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 1,782 pages. SrA Bush is confined. Undersigned counsel has fully reviewed SrA Bush's ROT.

12. *United States v. Jackson*, ACM S32819 – The ROT is 1 volume consisting of 12 prosecution exhibits, 6 defense exhibits, 44 appellate exhibits, and 1 court exhibit; the transcript is 1,529 pages. A1C Jackson is not confined. Undersigned counsel has fully reviewed A1C Jackson's ROT.

13. *United States v. Cannon*, ACM 40841 – The ROT is 1 volume consisting of 2 prosecution exhibits, 6 defense exhibits, 61 appellate exhibits, and 1 court exhibit; the transcript is 848 pages. A1C Cannon is confined. Undersigned counsel has not completed review of A1C Cannon's ROT.

14. *United States v. McCay*, ACM 40869 – The ROT contains 3 prosecution exhibits, 17 defense exhibits, and 6 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 142 pages. SrA McCay is not confined as a result of his court-martial conviction. Undersigned counsel has not completed review of SrA McCay's ROT.

United States v. Gerdes, ACM S32836 – The ROT is 1 volume consisting of 3 prosecution exhibits, 15 defense exhibits, and 3 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 96 pages. SrA Gerdes is not confined. Undersigned counsel has not completed review of SrA Gerdes' ROT.

Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been unable to complete his review of Appellant's case due to his work in cases undersigned counsel prioritizes over Appellant's case. Undersigned counsel has reviewed the charge sheet, EoJ, portions of the transcript, and App. Ex. XVII. An EOT is necessary to allow counsel to fully review Appellant's case and advise him regarding potential errors.

Appellant was advised of his right to speedy appellate review. Appellant has been provided an update of the status of counsel's progress on his case. Appellant was advised of the request for an EOT. Appellant has provided limited consent to disclose a confidential communication with counsel wherein he consented to the request for an EOT.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time.

[REDACTED]

JOSHUA L. LOPES, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing was sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 7 July 2026.

Respectfully Submitted,

[REDACTED]

JOSHUA L. LOPES, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,	)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
	)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
	)	OF TIME
v.	)	
	)	Before Panel No. 2
Senior Airman (E-4)	)	
CHASE G. HOLST,	)	No. ACM 40873
United States Air Force,	)	
<i>Appellant.</i>	)	9 July 2026

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.


HEATHER R. BEZOLD, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel


CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force
Appellate Defense Division on 9 July 2026.

[REDACTED]

HEATHER R. BEZOLD, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel

[REDACTED]

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES

Appellee

v.

Senior Airman (E-4)

CHASE G. HOLST

United States Air Force

Appellant

) **APPELLANT’S MOTION TO**
) **WITHDRAW FROM APPELLATE**
) **REVIEW AND ATTACH**

)
) Before Panel No. 2

)
) No. ACM 40873

)
) 4 August 2026

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 16 of the Joint Rules of Appellate Practice for Courts of Criminal Appeals (JRAP) and Rule for Courts-Martial (R.C.M.) 1115, *Manual for Courts-Martial, United States* (2024 ed.), Appellant, Senior Airman Chase G. Holst, moves to withdraw his case from appellate review. Appellant has fully consulted with Captain Joshua Lopes, his appellate defense counsel, regarding this motion and his decision to withdraw. No person has compelled, coerced, or induced Appellant by force, promises of clemency, or otherwise, to withdraw his case from appellate review.

Further, pursuant to Rule 23(b) of the JRAP and 23.3(b) of this Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, undersigned counsel asks this Court to attach the two-page document appended to this pleading to the record of this proceeding. The appended document is Appellant’s signed Department of Defense Form 2330, *Waiver/Withdrawal of Appellate Rights in General and Special Courts-Martial Subject to Review by a Court of Criminal Appeals*. The appended document is necessary to comply with R.C.M. 1115(d), R.C.M. 1115(e), and 23.3(i) of this Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests this Honorable Court to grant this motion to withdraw from appellate review, and to grant this request to attach matters to the record.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] apt, USAF

Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing was sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 4 August 2026.

Respectfully Submitted,

[REDACTED]

JOSHUA L. LOPES, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]