

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES)	APPELLANT’S MOTION FOR
<i>Appellee</i>)	ENLARGEMENT OF TIME (FIRST)
)	
v.)	Before Panel No. 2
)	
Airman Basic (AB))	No. ACM 40522
ISAIAH L. EDWARDS,)	
United States Air Force)	17 November 2023
<i>Appellant</i>)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(1) and (2) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for his first enlargement of time to file an Assignment of Error (AOE). Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 60 days, which will end on **27 January 2024**. The record of trial was docketed with this Court on 29 September 2023. From the date of docketing to the present date, 49 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 120 days will have elapsed.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested first enlargement of time.


Respectfully submitted,

TREVOR N. WARD, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
United States Air Force

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Appellate Government Division on 17 November 2023.

Respectfully submitted,



TREVOR N. WARD, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
United States Air Force




IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
v.)	OF TIME
)	
Airman Basic (E-1))	ACM 40522
ISAAH L. EDWARDS, USAF,)	
<i>Appellant.</i>)	Panel No. 2
)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.




MARY ELLEN PAYNE
Associate Chief, Government Trial and
Appellate Operations Division
Military Justice and Discipline
United States Air Force



CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force
Appellate Defense Division on 21 November 2023.



MARY ELLEN PAYNE
Associate Chief, Government Trial and
Appellate Operations Division
Military Justice and Discipline
United States Air Force



IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES)	APPELLANT’S MOTION FOR
)	ENLARGEMENT OF TIME (SECOND)
)	
v.)	Before Panel No. 2
)	
Airman Basic (AB))	No. ACM 40522
ISAIAH L. EDWARDS,)	
United States Air Force)	19 January 2024
<i>Appellant</i>)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(3) and (4) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for his second enlargement of time to file an Assignment of Error (AOE). Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 30 days, which will end on **26 February 2024**. The record of trial was docketed with this Court on 29 September 2023. From the date of docketing to the present date, 112 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 150 days will have elapsed.


On 17 May 2023, Appellant was tried by a general court-martial, sitting as a military judge alone, at Fort Leavenworth, Kansas. R. at 1, 13. Consistent with his pleas, Appellant was found guilty of one charge and three specifications of Assault upon a Person in the Execution of Law Enforcement Duties, in violation of Article 128, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ). R. at 19, 69. Pursuant to a plea agreement, one specification of Assault upon a Person in the Execution of Law Enforcement Duties, in violation of Article 128, UCMJ, and one charge and specification of Failure to Obey a General Regulation, in violation of Article 92, UCMJ, were withdrawn and dismissed with prejudice. R. at 68. The convening authority took no action on the findings or sentence adjudged. Record of Trial (ROT), Vol. 1, Convening Authority Decision on Action – *United States v. Airman Basic Isaiah L. Edwards*.

The ROT is three volumes, consisting of 9 appellate exhibits, 11 prosecution exhibits, and one defense exhibit.

Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been unable to complete his review and prepare a brief of Appellant's case. An enlargement of time is necessary to allow counsel to fully review Appellant's case and advise Appellant regarding potential errors. Appellant was advised of his right to a timely appeal. Appellant was advised of the request for this enlargement of time. Appellant has provided limited consent to disclose a confidential communication with counsel wherein he consented to the request for this enlargement of time.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time for good cause shown.

Respectfully submitted,

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.


TREVOR N. WARD, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
United States Air Force

A small black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information.

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Appellate Government Division on 19 January 2024.

Respectfully submitted,



TREVOR N. WARD, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
United States Air Force




IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
v.)	OF TIME
)	
Airman Basic (E-1))	ACM 40522
ISAIAH L. EDWARDS, USAF,)	
<i>Appellant.</i>)	Panel No. 2
)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.




PETE FERRELL, Lt Col, USAF
Director of Operations
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate
United States Air Force



CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force Appellate Defense Division on 22 January 2024.



PETE FERRELL, Lt Col, USAF
Director of Operations
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate
United States Air Force



**UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

UNITED STATES)	No. ACM 40522
<i>Appellee</i>)	
)	
v.)	
)	NOTICE OF
Isaiah L. EDWARDS)	PANEL CHANGE
Airman Basic (E-1))	
U.S. Air Force)	
<i>Appellant</i>)	

It is by the court on this 23d day of January, 2024,

ORDERED:

The record of trial in the above styled matter is withdrawn from Panel 2 and referred to a Special Panel for appellate review.


The Special Panel in this matter shall be constituted as follows:

JOHNSON, JOHN C., Colonel, Chief Appellate Military Judge
RICHARDSON, NATALIE D., Colonel, Senior Appellate Military Judge
KEARLEY, CYNTHIA T., Colonel, Appellate Military Judge

This panel letter supersedes all previous panel assignments.



FOR THE COURT



TANICA S. BAGMON
Appellate Court Paralegal

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES)	APPELLANT’S MOTION FOR
<i>Appellee</i>)	ENLARGEMENT OF TIME (THIRD)
)	
v.)	Before Special Panel
)	
Airman Basic (AB))	No. ACM 40522
ISAIAH L. EDWARDS,)	
United States Air Force)	14 February 2024
<i>Appellant</i>)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(3) and (4) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for his third enlargement of time to file an Assignment of Error (AOE). Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 30 days, which will end on **27 March 2024**. The record of trial was docketed with this Court on 29 September 2023. From the date of docketing to the present date, 138 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 180 days will have elapsed.

On 17 May 2023, Appellant was tried by a general court-martial, sitting as a military judge alone, at Fort Leavenworth, Kansas. R. at 1, 13. Consistent with his pleas, Appellant was found guilty of one charge and three specifications of Assault upon a Person in the Execution of Law Enforcement Duties, in violation of Article 128, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ). R. at 19, 69. Pursuant to a plea agreement, one specification of Assault upon a Person in the Execution of Law Enforcement Duties, in violation of Article 128, UCMJ, and one charge and specification of Failure to Obey a General Regulation, in violation of Article 92, UCMJ, were withdrawn and dismissed with prejudice. R. at 68. The military judge sentenced Appellant to a reprimand, 13 months of confinement, and a dishonorable discharge. R. at 188-89. The convening authority took no action on the findings or sentence adjudged. Record of Trial (ROT), Vol. 1, Convening


Authority Decision on Action – *United States v. Airman Basic Isaiah L. Edwards*. Appellant is currently confined.

The ROT is three volumes, consisting of 9 appellate exhibits, 11 prosecution exhibits, and one defense exhibit.

Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been unable to complete her review and prepare a brief of Appellant’s case. An enlargement of time is necessary to allow counsel to fully review Appellant’s case and advise Appellant regarding potential errors. Appellant was advised of his right to a timely appeal. Appellant was advised of the request for this enlargement of time. Appellant has provided limited consent to disclose a confidential communication with counsel wherein he consented to the request for this enlargement of time.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time for good cause shown.

Respectfully submitted,



REBECCA J. SAATHOFF, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
United States Air Force



CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Appellate Government Division on 14 February 2024.

Respectfully submitted,

[REDACTED]

REBECCA J. SAATHOFF, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
United States Air Force

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]


IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
v.)	OF TIME
)	
Airman Basic (E-1))	ACM 40522
ISAAH L. EDWARDS, USAF,)	
<i>Appellant.</i>)	Panel No. 2
)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.




PETE FERRELL, Lt Col, USAF
Director of Operations
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate
United States Air Force




CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force Appellate Defense Division on 14 February 2024.



PETE FERRELL, Lt Col, USAF
Director of Operations
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate
United States Air Force



IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	MOTION TO WITHDRAW FROM
<i>Appellee,</i>)	APPELLATE REVIEW AND
)	ATTACH
v.)	
)	Before Special Panel
Airman Basic (E-1))	
ISAIAH L. EDWARDS,)	Case No. ACM 40522
United States Air Force,)	
<i>Appellant</i>)	11 March 2024

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES
AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 16 of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure and Rule for Courts-Martial (R.C.M.) 1115, AB Isaiah L. Edwards, Appellant, moves to withdraw his case from appellate review. Appellant has fully consulted with undersigned counsel, his appellate defense counsel, regarding this motion and his decision to withdraw. No person has compelled, coerced or induced Appellant by force, promises of clemency, or otherwise, to withdraw his case from appellate review. Further, pursuant to Rules 23(b) and 23.3(b) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, the undersigned counsel asks this Court to attach the two-page document appended to this pleading to the record of this proceeding. The appended document is necessary to comply with R.C.M. 1115(d) and R.C.M. 1115(e).

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests this Honorable Court to grant this motion to withdraw from appellate review, and to grant this request to attach matters to the record.

Respectfully submitted,

[Redacted signature]

REBECCA J. SAATHOFF, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division

[Redacted address line 1]

[Redacted address line 2]

[Redacted address line 3]

[Redacted address line 4]

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing was sent via email to the Court and served on the Appellate Government Division on 11 March 2024.

Respectfully submitted,

[Redacted signature]

REBECCA J. SAATHOFF, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division

[Redacted address line 1]

[Redacted address line 2]

[Redacted address line 3]

[Redacted address line 4]