

**UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

UNITED STATES	)	No. ACM 40878
<i>Appellee</i>	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	ORDER
Terrance D. COOLEY	)	
Master Sergeant (E-7)	)	
U.S. Air Force	)	
<i>Appellant</i>	)	Panel 2

On 22 December 2025, counsel for Appellant submitted a motion for an Enlargement of Time (First) requesting an additional 60 days to submit Appellant's assignments of error. The Government opposed the motion.


The court has considered Appellant's motion, the Government's opposition, case law, and this court's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Accordingly, it is by the court on this 31st day of December, 2025,

ORDERED:

Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time (First) is **GRANTED**. Appellant shall file any assignments of error not later than **4 March 2026**.

Each request for an enlargement of time will be considered on its merits. Appellant's counsel is advised that any subsequent motions for enlargement of time shall include, in addition to matters required under this court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, statements as to: (1) whether Appellant was advised of Appellant's right to a timely appeal, (2) whether Appellant was provided an update of the status of counsel's progress on Appellant's case, (3) whether Appellant was advised of the request for an enlargement of time, and (4) whether Appellant agrees with the request for an enlargement of time.



JACOB B. HOEFERKAMP, Capt, USAF
Chief Commissioner

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS


UNITED STATES	)	APPELLANT’S MOTION FOR
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	ENLARGEMENT OF TIME (FIRST)
	)	
v.	)	Before Panel 2
	)	
Master Sergeant (E-7)	)	No. ACM 40878
TERRANCE D. COOLEY,	)	
United States Air Force,	)	22 December 2025
<i>Appellant.</i>	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(1) and (2) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for his first enlargement of time to file an Assignment of Error (AOE). Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 60 days, which will end on **4 March 2026**. This case was docketed with this Court on 4 November 2025. From the date of docketing to the present date, 48 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 120 days will have elapsed.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested first enlargement of time.

Respectfully submitted,



TREVOR N. WARD, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel



CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 22 Decemberr 2025.

Respectfully submitted,

[REDACTED]

TREVOR N. WARD, Maj, USAF

[REDACTED]


IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,	)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
	)	OPPOSITION TO
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR
	)	ENLARGEMENT OF TIME
v.	)	
	)	Before Panel No. 2
Master Sergeant (E-7)	)	
TERRANCE D. COOLEY,	)	No. ACM 40878
United States Air Force.	)	
<i>Appellant</i>	)	29 December 2025

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.



VANESSA BAIROS, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel






CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force
Appellate Defense Division on 29 December 2025.



VANESSA BAIROS, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel



IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES	)	APPELLANT’S MOTION FOR
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	ENLARGEMENT OF TIME (SECOND)
	)	
v.	)	Before Panel 2
	)	
Master Sergeant (E-7)	)	No. ACM 40878
TERRANCE D. COOLEY,	)	
United States Air Force,	)	24 February 2026
<i>Appellant.</i>	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(3) and (4) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for his second enlargement of time to file an Assignment of Error (AOE).¹ Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 30 days, which will end on **3 April 2026**. This case was docketed with this Court on 4 November 2025. From the date of docketing to the present date, 112 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 150 days will have elapsed.

On 14 March 2025, Appellant was tried by a military judge alone sitting as a general court-martial at Beale Air Force Base, California. R. at 1. Consistent with his pleas, R. at 105, Appellant was found guilty of one charge and three specifications of committing a sexual or lewd act on a child, in violation of Article 120b, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), a first additional charge and specification of committing a lewd act on a child, in violation of Article 120b, UCMJ, and a second additional charge and specification of committing a lewd act on a child, in violation of Article 120b, UCMJ. R. at 217. The military judge sentenced Appellant to a reprimand,

¹ A previous filing of the same name and date erroneously included the wrong case caption. This filing corrects that error. The previous filing is hereby withdrawn and this filing is substituted in its place.

reduction to the pay grade of E-1, confinement for seventeen years, and a dishonorable discharge. R. at 256. The convening authority took no action on the findings or sentence. Convening Authority Decision on Action. Appellant is confined

The record of trial (ROT) is electronic consisting of 1,520 pages. The ROT includes four prosecution exhibits, twelve defense exhibits, thirty-nine appellate exhibits, and two court exhibits. The transcript is 257 pages.

Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been unable to complete his review and prepare a brief of Appellant's case. An enlargement of time is necessary to allow counsel time to fully review Appellant's case and advise Appellant regarding potential errors. Appellant has provided limited consent to disclose confidential communications, wherein:

- (1) Appellant has been advised of his right to a timely appeal.
- (2) Appellant has been advised of this request for enlargement of time.
- (3) Appellant has been apprised of the status of undersigned counsel's progress on his case.
- (4) Appellant has consented to this enlargement of time.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time for good cause shown.

Respectfully submitted,

TREVOR N. WARD, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 24 February 2026.

Respectfully submitted,

[REDACTED]

TREVOR N. WARD, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]


IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,	)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
	)	OPPOSITION TO
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR
	)	ENLARGEMENT OF TIME
v.	)	
	)	Before Panel No. 2
Master Sergeant (E-7)	)	
TERRANCE D. COOLEY,	)	No. ACM 40878
United States Air Force.	)	
<i>Appellant</i>	)	26 February 2026

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.



VANESSA BAIROS, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel






CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force
Appellate Defense Division on 26 February 2026.



VANESSA BAIROS, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel



IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES	)	APPELLANT’S MOTION FOR
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	ENLARGEMENT OF TIME (THIRD)
	)	
v.	)	Before Panel 2
	)	
Master Sergeant (E-7)	)	No. ACM 40878
TERRANCE D. COOLEY,	)	
United States Air Force,	)	25 March 2026
<i>Appellant.</i>	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(3) and (4) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for his third enlargement of time to file an Assignment of Error (AOE).¹ Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 30 days, which will end on **3 May 2026**. This case was docketed with this Court on 4 November 2025. From the date of docketing to the present date, 141 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 180 days will have elapsed.

On 14 March 2025, Appellant was tried by a military judge alone sitting as a general court-martial at Beale Air Force Base, California. R. at 1. Consistent with his pleas, R. at 105, Appellant was found guilty of one charge and three specifications of committing a sexual or lewd act on a child, in violation of Article 120b, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), a first additional charge and specification of committing a lewd act on a child, in violation of Article 120b, UCMJ, and a second additional charge and specification of committing a lewd act on a child, in violation of Article 120b, UCMJ. R. at 217. The military judge sentenced Appellant to a reprimand,

¹ A previous filing of the same name and date erroneously included the wrong case caption. This filing corrects that error. The previous filing is hereby withdrawn and this filing is substituted in its place.

reduction to the pay grade of E-1, confinement for seventeen years, and a dishonorable discharge. R. at 256. The convening authority took no action on the findings or sentence. Convening Authority Decision on Action. Appellant is confined

The record of trial (ROT) is electronic consisting of 1,520 pages. The ROT includes four prosecution exhibits, twelve defense exhibits, thirty-nine appellate exhibits, and two court exhibits. The transcript is 257 pages.

Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been unable to complete his review and prepare a brief of Appellant's case. An enlargement of time is necessary to allow counsel time to fully review Appellant's case and advise Appellant regarding potential errors. Appellant has provided limited consent to disclose confidential communications, wherein:

- (1) Appellant has been advised of his right to a timely appeal.
- (2) Appellant has been advised of this request for enlargement of time.
- (3) Appellant has been apprised of the status of undersigned counsel's progress on his case.
- (4) Appellant has consented to this enlargement of time.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time for good cause shown.

Respectfully submitted,

[REDACTED]

TREVOR N. WARD, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 25 March 2026.

Respectfully submitted,

[REDACTED]

TREVOR N. WARD, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]


IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,	)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
	)	OPPOSITION TO
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR
	)	ENLARGEMENT OF TIME
v.	)	
	)	Before Panel No. 2
Master Sergeant (E-7)	)	
TERRANCE D. COOLEY,	)	No. ACM 40878
United States Air Force.	)	
<i>Appellant</i>	)	25 March 2026

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.


VANESSA BAIROS, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel


CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force
Appellate Defense Division on 25 March 2026.

[REDACTED]

VANESSA BAIROS, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel

[REDACTED]

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES	)	APPELLANT’S MOTION FOR
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	ENLARGEMENT OF TIME (FOURTH)
	)	
v.	)	Before Panel 2
	)	
Master Sergeant (E-7)	)	No. ACM 40878
TERRANCE D. COOLEY,	)	
United States Air Force,	)	24 April 2026
<i>Appellant.</i>	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(4) and (6) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for his fourth enlargement of time to file an Assignment of Error (AOE). Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 30 days, which will end on **2 June 2026**. This case was docketed with this Court on 4 November 2025. From the date of docketing to the present date, 171 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 210 days will have elapsed.

On 14 March 2025, Appellant was tried by a military judge alone sitting as a general court-martial at Beale Air Force Base, California. R. at 1. Consistent with his pleas, R. at 105, Appellant was found guilty of one charge and three specifications of committing a sexual or lewd act on a child, in violation of Article 120b, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), a first additional charge and specification of committing a lewd act on a child, in violation of Article 120b, UCMJ, and a second additional charge and specification of committing a lewd act on a child, in violation of Article 120b, UCMJ. R. at 217. The military judge sentenced Appellant to a reprimand, reduction to the pay grade of E-1, confinement for seventeen years, and a dishonorable discharge. R. at 256. The convening authority took no action on the findings or sentence. Convening Authority Decision on Action. Appellant is confined

The record of trial (ROT) is electronic consisting of 1,520 pages. The ROT includes four prosecution exhibits, twelve defense exhibits, thirty-nine appellate exhibits, and two court exhibits. The transcript is 257 pages.

Appellant is assigned 13 cases; five of those cases are pending initial briefs before this Court. Appellant desires to withdraw from appellate review. Because of this, this case is undersigned counsel's highest priority. Undersigned counsel has completed a review of the unsealed portions of Appellant's record. However, additional time is necessary to complete a review of the sealed portions and transmit/obtain the necessary documents for the withdrawal (this Appellant is confined). Undersigned counsel anticipates completion of this before 2 June 2026 (the end of this enlargement period)

Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been unable to complete his review of Appellant's record and obtain the necessary paperwork for the withdrawal. An enlargement of time is necessary to complete a review, advise Appellant, and, if he so desires, file withdrawal paperwork. Appellant has provided limited consent to disclose confidential communications, wherein:

- (1) Appellant has been advised of his right to a timely appeal.
- (2) Appellant has been advised of this request for enlargement of time.
- (3) Appellant has been apprised of the status of undersigned counsel's progress on his case.
- (4) Appellant has consented to this enlargement of time.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time for good cause shown.

Respectfully submitted,

[REDACTED]

TREVOR N. WARD, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 24 April 2026.

Respectfully submitted,

[REDACTED]

TREVOR N. WARD, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]


IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,	)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
	)	OPPOSITION TO
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR
	)	ENLARGEMENT OF TIME
v.	)	
	)	Before Panel No. 2
Master Sergeant (E-7)	)	
TERRANCE D. COOLEY,	)	No. ACM 40878
United States Air Force.	)	
<i>Appellant</i>	)	27 April 2026

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.


REGINA HENENLOTTER, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel


CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force
Appellate Defense Division on 27 April 2026.



REGINA HENENLOTTER, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel



IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES	)	APPELLANT’S MOTION FOR
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	ENLARGEMENT OF TIME (FIFTH)
	)	
v.	)	Before Panel 2
	)	
Master Sergeant (E-7)	)	No. ACM 40878
TERRANCE D. COOLEY,	)	
United States Air Force,	)	May 26, 2026
<i>Appellant.</i>	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(4) and (6) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for his fifth enlargement of time to file an Assignment of Error (AOE). Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 30 days, which will end on **July 2, 2026**. This case was docketed with this Court on 4 November 2025. From the date of docketing to the present date, 203 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 240 days will have elapsed.

On 14 March 2025, Appellant was tried by a military judge alone sitting as a general court-martial at Beale Air Force Base, California. R. at 1. Consistent with his pleas, R. at 105, Appellant was found guilty of one charge and three specifications of committing a sexual or lewd act on a child, in violation of Article 120b, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), a first additional charge and specification of committing a lewd act on a child, in violation of Article 120b, UCMJ, and a second additional charge and specification of committing a lewd act on a child, in violation of Article 120b, UCMJ. R. at 217. The military judge sentenced Appellant to a reprimand, reduction to the pay grade of E-1, confinement for seventeen years, and a dishonorable discharge. R. at 256. The convening authority took no action on the findings or sentence. Convening Authority Decision on Action. Appellant is confined

The record of trial (ROT) is electronic consisting of 1,520 pages. The ROT includes four prosecution exhibits, twelve defense exhibits, thirty-nine appellate exhibits, and two court exhibits. The transcript is 257 pages.

Appellant is assigned twelve cases; two of those cases are pending initial briefs before this Court. Appellant desires to withdraw from appellate review. Because of this, this case is undersigned counsel's highest priority after the two Supreme Court petitions in *United States v. Barlow* and *United States v. Cooley*. Those petitions have been drafted and are pending printing and filing.

Undersigned counsel has completed a review of the unsealed portions of Appellant's record. However, additional time is necessary to complete a review of the sealed portions and transmit/obtain the necessary documents for the withdrawal (this Appellant is confined). Undersigned counsel anticipates completion of this before July 2, 2026 (the end of this enlargement period). Undersigned counsel previously predicted that this could be completed by June 2, 2026. However, additional time is necessary to receive the proper paperwork for withdrawal.

Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been unable to complete his review of Appellant's record and obtain the necessary paperwork for the withdrawal. An enlargement of time is necessary to complete a review, advise Appellant, and, if he so desires, file withdrawal paperwork. Appellant has provided limited consent to disclose confidential communications, wherein:

- (1) Appellant has been advised of his right to a timely appeal.
- (2) Appellant has been advised of this request for enlargement of time.
- (3) Appellant has been apprised of the status of undersigned counsel's progress on his case.

(4) Appellant has consented to this enlargement of time.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time for good cause shown.

Respectfully submitted,

[REDACTED]

TREVOR N. WARD, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on May 26, 2026.

Respectfully submitted,

[REDACTED]

TREVOR N. WARD, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]


IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,	)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
	)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
	)	OF TIME
v.	)	
	)	Before Panel No. 2
Master Sergeant (E-7)	)	
TERRANCE D. COOLEY,	)	No. ACM 40878
United States Air Force.	)	
<i>Appellant</i>	)	27 May 2026

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.


REGINA HENENLOTTER, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel


CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force
Appellate Defense Division on 27 May 2026.



REGINA HENENLOTTER, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel



IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES	)	APPELLANT’S MOTION FOR
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	ENLARGEMENT OF TIME (SIXTH)
	)	
v.	)	Before Panel 2
	)	
Master Sergeant (E-7)	)	No. ACM 40878
TERRANCE D. COOLEY,	)	
United States Air Force,	)	June 25, 2026
<i>Appellant.</i>	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(4) and (6) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for his sixth enlargement of time to file an Assignment of Error (AOE). Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 30 days, which will end on **August 1, 2026**. This case was docketed with this Court on 4 November 2025. From the date of docketing to the present date, 233 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 270 days will have elapsed.

On 14 March 2025, Appellant was tried by a military judge alone sitting as a general court-martial at Beale Air Force Base, California. R. at 1. Consistent with his pleas, R. at 105, Appellant was found guilty of one charge and three specifications of committing a sexual or lewd act on a child, in violation of Article 120b, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), a first additional charge and specification of committing a lewd act on a child, in violation of Article 120b, UCMJ, and a second additional charge and specification of committing a lewd act on a child, in violation of Article 120b, UCMJ. R. at 217. The military judge sentenced Appellant to a reprimand, reduction to the pay grade of E-1, confinement for seventeen years, and a dishonorable discharge. R. at 256. The convening authority took no action on the findings or sentence. Convening Authority Decision on Action. Appellant is confined

The record of trial (ROT) is electronic consisting of 1,520 pages. The ROT includes four prosecution exhibits, twelve defense exhibits, thirty-nine appellate exhibits, and two court exhibits. The transcript is 257 pages.

Appellant is assigned six cases; two of those cases are pending initial briefs before this Court. However, both cases pending before this Court have appellants that anticipate withdrawing from appellate review. This is one such case.

Undersigned counsel has completed a review of the unsealed portions of Appellant's record. Additionally, undersigned counsel drafted the required withdrawal paperwork and sent that paperwork to Appellant at the United States Disciplinary Barracks (USDB) at Fort Leavenworth on June 3, 2026. However, the undersigned counsel has not yet received the signed paperwork from Appellant. This is unfortunate, but not unsurprising, as mail correspondence to and from the USDB often takes over one month. Therefore, additional time is necessary to obtain the signed paperwork before filing a withdrawal.

Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been unable to obtain the necessary paperwork to complete his withdrawal. An enlargement of time is necessary to file the withdrawal paperwork. Appellant has provided limited consent to disclose confidential communications, wherein:

- (1) Appellant has been advised of his right to a timely appeal.
- (2) Appellant has been advised of this request for enlargement of time.
- (3) Appellant has been apprised of the status of undersigned counsel's progress on his case.
- (4) Appellant has consented to this enlargement of time.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time for good cause shown.

Respectfully submitted,

[REDACTED]

TREVOR N. WARD, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on June 25, 2026.

Respectfully submitted,

[REDACTED]

TREVOR N. WARD, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,	)	UNITED STATES' RESPONSE
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	TO APPELLANT'S MOTION
	)	FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME
v.	)	
	)	Before Panel No. 2
Master Sergeant (E-7)	)	
TERRANCE D. COOLEY,	)	No. ACM 40878
United States Air Force.	)	
<i>Appellant</i>	)	26 June 2026

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States does not oppose Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court grant Appellant's enlargement motion.


HEATHER R. BEZOLD, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel


CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force
Appellate Defense Division on 26 June 2026.

[REDACTED]

HEATHER R. BEZOLD, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel

[REDACTED]

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES	)	MOTION TO WITHDRAW FROM
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	APPELLATE REVIEW AND
	)	MOTION TO ATTACH
	)	
v.	)	Before Panel No. 2
	)	
Master Sergeant (E-7),	)	No. ACM 40878
Terrance D. COOLEY,	)	
United States Air Force,	)	July 16, 2026
<i>Appellant.</i>	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 16 of this Honorable Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, and Rule for Courts-Martial (R.C.M.) 1115, Appellant hereby moves to withdraw his case from appellate review. Appellant has fully consulted with Maj Trevor N. Ward, his appellate defense counsel, regarding this motion to withdraw. No person has compelled, coerced, or induced Appellant by force, promises of clemency, or otherwise to withdraw his case from appellate review.

Further, pursuant to Rules 23(b) and 23.3(b) of this Honorable Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, undersigned counsel asks this Court to attach the two-page document appended to this pleading to Appellant's Record of Trial. The appended document is a Department of Defense Form 2330, signed by Appellant and undersigned counsel. The appended document is necessary to comply with R.C.M. 1115(d) and Rule 16.1 of this Honorable Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the above captioned motion to withdraw from appellate review and likewise grant his request to attach matters to the record.

Respectfully submitted,

[REDACTED]

TREVOR N. WARD, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on July 16, 2026.

Respectfully submitted,

[REDACTED]

TREVOR N. WARD, Maj, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]