

**IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

<b>UNITED STATES</b>	)	<b>APPELLANT’S MOTION FOR</b>
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	<b>ENLARGEMENT OF TIME (FIRST)</b>
	)	
v.	)	Before Panel No. 2
	)	
Airman (E-2)	)	No. ACM S32839
<b>LATIANNA M. FA,</b>	)	
United States Air Force	)	23 December 2025
<i>Appellant</i>	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES  
AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(1) and (2) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for an enlargement of time (EOT) to file her Assignments of Error. Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of sixty days, which will end on **5 March 2026**. The record of trial was docketed with this Court on 5 November 2025. From the date of docketing to the present date, 48 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 120 days will have elapsed.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested first enlargement of time.

Respectfully submitted,

[Redacted Signature]

JOSHUA L. LOPES, Capt, USAF  
Appellate Defense Counsel

[Redacted Address]

**CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE**

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing was sent via email to the Court and served on the Appellate Government Division on 23 December 2025.

[REDACTED]

JOSHUA L. LOPES, Capt, USAF  
Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]


**IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

<b>UNITED STATES,</b>	)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
	)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
v.	)	OF TIME
	)	
Airman (E-2)	)	Before Panel No. 2
<b>LATIANNA M. FA,</b>	)	
United States Air Force,	)	No. ACM S32839
<i>Appellant.</i>	)	
	)	30 December 2025
	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF  
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

**WHEREFORE**, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.



KATE E. LEE, Maj, USAF  
Appellate Government Counsel



**CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE**

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force Appellate Defense Division on 30 December 2025.



KATE E. LEE, Maj, USAF  
Appellate Government Counsel



**UNITED STATES AIR FORCE  
COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

UNITED STATES	)	No. ACM S32839
<i>Appellee</i>	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	<b>ORDER</b>
Latianna M. FA	)	
Airman (E-2)	)	
U.S. Air Force	)	
<i>Appellant</i>	)	<b>Panel 2</b>

On 23 December 2025, counsel for Appellant submitted a motion for an Enlargement of Time (First) requesting an additional 60 days to submit Appellant’s assignments of error. The Government opposed the motion.

The court has considered Appellant’s motion, the Government’s opposition, case law, and this court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Accordingly, it is by the court on this 5th day of January, 2026,


**ORDERED:**

Appellant’s Motion for Enlargement of Time (First) is **GRANTED**. Appellant shall file any assignments of error not later than **5 March 2026**.

Each request for an enlargement of time will be considered on its merits. Appellant’s counsel is advised that any subsequent motions for enlargement of time shall include, in addition to matters required under this court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, statements as to: (1) whether Appellant was advised of Appellant’s right to a timely appeal, (2) whether Appellant was provided an update of the status of counsel’s progress on Appellant’s case, (3) whether Appellant was advised of the request for an enlargement of time, and (4) whether Appellant agrees with the request for an enlargement of time.



FOR THE COURT



JACOB B. HOEFERKAMP, Capt, USAF  
Chief Commissioner

**IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

<b>UNITED STATES</b>	)	<b>APPELLANT’S MOTION FOR</b>
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	<b>ENLARGEMENT OF TIME</b>
	)	<b>(SECOND)</b>
v.	)	
	)	Before Panel No. 2
Airman (E-2)	)	
<b>LATIANNA M. FA,</b>	)	No. ACM S32839
United States Air Force	)	
<i>Appellant</i>	)	26 February 2026

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES  
AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(1), (3), and (4) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for an enlargement of time (EOT) to file her Assignments of Error. Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of thirty days, which will end on **4 April 2026**. The record of trial was docketed with this Court on 5 November 2025. From the date of docketing to the present date, 113 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 150 days will have elapsed.

On 9 July 2025, a special court-martial composed of a military judge alone found Appellant guilty, consistent with her pleas, of three specifications of absence without leave, in violation of Article 86, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), 10 U.S.C. § 886, one specification of false official statement in violation of Article 107, UCMJ, 10 U.S.C. § 907, and one specification of wrongful use of a controlled substance in violation of Article 112a, UCMJ, 10 U.S.C. § 912a. R. at 113; Entry of Judgment (EOJ). The military judge sentenced Appellant to be reprimanded, to be reduced to the grade of E-1, to be confined for thirty days, and to be discharged from the service with a bad conduct discharge. R. at 177; EOJ. The convening authority took no action on the



**GRANTED**  
**3 MAR 2026**

findings or sentence. Convening Authority Decision on Action – *United States v. Ann Latianna M. Fa*, dated 23 July 2025.

The electronic record of trial contains 1 volume consisting of 9 prosecution exhibits, 3 defense exhibits, and 3 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 178 pages. Appellant is not confined.

Through not fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been unable to complete his review of and prepare a brief for Appellant’s case. An enlargement of time is necessary to allow undersigned counsel to fully review Appellant’s record and advise Appellant regarding potential errors. Appellant was advised of her right to a timely appeal, was provided an update on the status of counsel’s progress, was advised of this request for an enlargement of time, and has not expressed agreement nor disagreement with the requested enlargement of time.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested second enlargement of time.

Respectfully submitted,

[Redacted Signature]

JOSHUA L. LOPES, Capt, USAF  
Appellate Defense Counsel

[Redacted Address]

**CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE**

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing was sent via email to the Court and served on the Appellate Government Division on 26 February 2026.

[REDACTED]

JOSHUA L. LOPES, Capt, USAF  
Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

<b>UNITED STATES,</b>	)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
	)	OPPOSITION TO
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR
	)	ENLARGEMENT OF TIME
v.	)	
	)	Before Panel No. 2
Airman (E-2)	)	
<b>LATIANA M. FA,</b>	)	No. ACM S32839
United States Air Force.	)	
<i>Appellant</i>	)	2 March 2026

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF  
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

**WHEREFORE,** the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.

[REDACTED]

VANESSA BAIROS, Maj, USAF  
Appellate Government Counsel

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE**

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force Appellate Defense Division on 2 March 2026.

[REDACTED]

VANESSA BAIROS, Maj, USAF  
Appellate Government Counsel

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]



findings or sentence. Convening Authority Decision on Action – *United States v. Ann Latianna M. Fa*, dated 23 July 2025.

The electronic record of trial contains 1 volume consisting of 9 prosecution exhibits, 3 defense exhibits, and 3 appellate exhibits; the transcript is 178 pages. Appellant is not confined.

Through not fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been unable to complete his review of and prepare a brief for Appellant’s case. An enlargement of time is necessary to allow undersigned counsel to fully review Appellant’s record and advise Appellant regarding potential errors. Appellant was advised of her right to a timely appeal, was provided an update on the status of counsel’s progress, was advised of this request for an enlargement of time, and has not expressed agreement nor disagreement with the requested enlargement of time.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time.

Respectfully submitted,

[Redacted Signature]

JOSHUA L. LOPES, Capt, USAF  
Appellate Defense Counsel

[Redacted Address]

**CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE**

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing was sent via email to the Court and served on the Appellate Government Division on 25 March 2026.

[REDACTED]

JOSHUA L. LOPES, Capt, USAF  
Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

<b>UNITED STATES,</b>	)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
	)	OPPOSITION TO
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR
	)	ENLARGEMENT OF TIME
v.	)	
	)	Before Panel No. 2
Airman (E-2)	)	
<b>LATIANNA M. FA,</b>	)	No. ACM S32839
United States Air Force.	)	
<i>Appellant</i>	)	27 March 2026

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF  
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

**WHEREFORE,** the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.

[REDACTED]

VANESSA BAIROS, Maj, USAF  
Appellate Government Counsel

[REDACTED]


[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE**

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force  
Appellate Defense Division on 27 March 2026.



VANESSA BAIROS, Maj, USAF  
Appellate Government Counsel





WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests this Honorable Court to grant this motion to withdraw from appellate review, and to grant this request to attach matters to the record.

[REDACTED]

pt, USAF

Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE**

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing was sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 20 April 2026.

Respectfully Submitted,

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of the submitter.

JOSHUA L. LOPES, Capt, USAF  
Appellate Defense Counsel

Five horizontal black rectangular redaction boxes of varying lengths, covering contact information such as address, phone number, and email.