

**IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

<b>UNITED STATES</b>	)	<b>MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF</b>
<i>Appellee</i>	)	<b>TIME (FIRST)</b>
	)	
v.	)	Before Panel No. 1
	)	
Airman First Class (E-3)	)	No. ACM S32743
<b>ADAM C. PRATSCHLER,</b>	)	
United States Air Force	)	11 January 2023
<i>Appellant</i>	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE  
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(2) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for his first enlargement of time to file an Assignments of Error (AOE). Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 60 days, which will end on **21 March 2023**. The record of trial was docketed with this Court on 21 November 2022. From the date of docketing to the present date, 51 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 120 days will have elapsed.

**WHEREFORE**, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time.

Respectfully submitted,

HEATHER M. CAINE, Maj, USAF  
Appellate Defense Counsel  
Air Force Appellate Defense Division  
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100  
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604

**CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE**

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Appellate Government Division on 11 January 2023.

Respectfully submitted,

HEATHER M. CAINE, Maj, USAF  
Appellate Defense Counsel  
Air Force Appellate Defense Division  
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100  
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604

**IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

UNITED STATES,	)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
	)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
v.	)	OF TIME
	)	
Airman First Class (E-3)	)	ACM S32743
ADAM C. PRATSCHLER, USAF	)	
<i>Appellant.</i>	)	Panel No. 1
	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF  
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.

OLIVIA B. HOFF, Capt, USAF  
Appellate Government Counsel  
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division  
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate  
United States Air Force

**CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE**

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force Appellate Defense Division on 17 January 2023.

OLIVIA B. HOFF, Capt, USAF  
Appellate Government Counsel  
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division  
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate  
United States Air Force

**IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

<b>UNITED STATES</b>	)	<b>MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF</b>
<i>Appellee</i>	)	<b>TIME (SECOND)</b>
	)	
v.	)	Before Panel No. 1
	)	
Airman First Class (E-3)	)	No. ACM S32743
<b>ADAM C. PRATSCHLER,</b>	)	
United States Air Force	)	10 March 2023
<i>Appellant</i>	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE  
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(2) and (4) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for his first enlargement of time to file an Assignments of Error (AOE). Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 30 days, which will end on **20 April 2023**. The record of trial was docketed with this Court on 21 November 2022. From the date of docketing to the present date, 109 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 150 days will have elapsed.

On 4 October 2022, at a special court-martial convened at Dyess Air Force Base, Texas, Appellant was found guilty, consistent with his pleas, of one charge and two specifications of Article 112a, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ); and was found not guilty, consistent with his pleas, of two more specifications of Article 112a, UCMJ. Record of Trial (ROT), Vol. 1, *Entry of Judgement*, 2 November 2022. The military judge sentenced Appellant to a Reprimand, reduction to the rank of E-1, 3 months’ confinement and a bad conduct discharge. *Id.* Appellant was given 36 days of pretrial confinement credit. *Id.* The convening authority took no action on the findings and sentence. ROT, Vol. 1, *Convening Authority Decision on Action*, 26 October 2022.

The trial transcript is 141 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of four volumes containing seven prosecution exhibits, two defense exhibits, four appellate exhibits, and zero court exhibits. Appellant is not currently confined.

**WHEREFORE**, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time.

Respectfully submitted,

HEATHER M. CAINE, Maj, USAF  
Appellate Defense Counsel  
Air Force Appellate Defense Division  
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100  
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604

**CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE**

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Appellate Government Division on 10 March 2023.

Respectfully submitted,

HEATHER M. CAINE, Maj, USAF  
Appellate Defense Counsel  
Air Force Appellate Defense Division  
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100  
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604

**IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

UNITED STATES,	)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
	)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
v.	)	OF TIME
	)	
Airman First Class (E-3)	)	ACM S32743
ADAM C. PRATSCHLER, USAF	)	
<i>Appellant.</i>	)	Panel No. 1
	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF  
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.

MARY ELLEN PAYNE  
Associate Chief, Government Trial and  
Appellate Operations Division  
Military Justice and Discipline  
United States Air Force



**CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE**

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force  
Appellate Defense Division on 13 March 2023.

MARY ELLEN PAYNE  
Associate Chief, Government Trial and  
Appellate Operations Division  
Military Justice and Discipline  
United States Air Force

**IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

<b>UNITED STATES</b>	)	<b>MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF</b>
<i>Appellee</i>	)	<b>TIME (THIRD)</b>
	)	
v.	)	Before Panel No. 1
	)	
Airman First Class (E-3)	)	No. ACM S32743
<b>ADAM C. PRATSCHLER,</b>	)	
United States Air Force	)	13 April 2023
<i>Appellant</i>	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE  
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(2) and (4) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for an enlargement of time to file an Assignments of Error (AOE). Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 30 days, which will end on **20 May 2023**. The record of trial was docketed with this Court on 21 November 2022. From the date of docketing to the present date, 143 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 180 days will have elapsed.

On 4 October 2022, at a special court-martial convened at Dyess Air Force Base, Texas, Appellant was found guilty, consistent with his pleas, of one charge and two specifications of Article 112a, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ); and was found not guilty, consistent with his pleas, of two more specifications of Article 112a, UCMJ. Record of Trial (ROT), Vol. 1, *Entry of Judgement*, 2 November 2022. The military judge sentenced Appellant to a Reprimand, reduction to the rank of E-1, 3 months’ confinement and a bad conduct discharge. *Id.* Appellant was given 36 days of pretrial confinement credit. *Id.* The convening authority took no action on the findings and sentence. ROT, Vol. 1, *Convening Authority Decision on Action*, 26 October 2022.

The trial transcript is 141 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of four volumes containing seven prosecution exhibits, two defense exhibits, four appellate exhibits, and zero court exhibits. Appellant is not currently confined.

**WHEREFORE**, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time.

Respectfully submitted,

HEATHER M. CAINE, Maj, USAF  
Appellate Defense Counsel  
Air Force Appellate Defense Division  
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100  
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604

**CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE**

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Appellate Government Division on 13 April 2023.

Respectfully submitted,

HEATHER M. CAINE, Maj, USAF  
Appellate Defense Counsel  
Air Force Appellate Defense Division  
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100  
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604

**IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

UNITED STATES,	)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
	)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
v.	)	OF TIME
	)	
Airman First Class (E-3)	)	ACM S32743
ADAM C. PRATSCHLER, USAF	)	
<i>Appellant.</i>	)	Panel No. 1
	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF  
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.

OLIVIA B. HOFF, Capt, USAF  
Appellate Government Counsel  
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division  
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate  
United States Air Force

**CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE**

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force Appellate Defense Division on 13 April 2023.

OLIVIA B. HOFF, Capt, USAF  
Appellate Government Counsel  
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division  
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate  
United States Air Force

**IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

<b>UNITED STATES</b>	)	<b>MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF</b>
<i>Appellee</i>	)	<b>TIME (FOUR)</b>
	)	
v.	)	Before Panel No. 1
	)	
Airman First Class (E-3)	)	No. ACM S32743
<b>ADAM C. PRATSCHLER,</b>	)	
United States Air Force	)	12 May 2023
<i>Appellant</i>	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE  
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(2) and (4) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for an enlargement of time to file an Assignments of Error (AOE). Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 30 days, which will end on **19 June 2023**. The record of trial was docketed with this Court on 21 November 2022. From the date of docketing to the present date, 172 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 210 days will have elapsed.

On 4 October 2022, at a special court-martial convened at Dyess Air Force Base, Texas, Appellant was found guilty, consistent with his pleas, of one charge and two specifications of Article 112a, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ); and was found not guilty, consistent with his pleas, of two more specifications of Article 112a, UCMJ. Record of Trial (ROT), Vol. 1, *Entry of Judgement*, 2 November 2022. The military judge sentenced Appellant to a Reprimand, reduction to the rank of E-1, 3 months’ confinement and a bad conduct discharge. *Id.* Appellant was given 36 days of pretrial confinement credit. *Id.* The convening authority took no action on the findings and sentence. ROT, Vol. 1, *Convening Authority Decision on Action*, 26 October 2022.

The trial transcript is 141 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of four volumes containing seven prosecution exhibits, two defense exhibits, four appellate exhibits, and zero court exhibits. Appellant is not currently confined.

Undersigned counsel is currently assigned 17 cases, with 11 initial briefs pending before this Court. Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been working on other assigned matters and has yet to complete her review of Appellant's case. Accordingly, an enlargement of time is necessary to allow undersigned counsel to fully review Appellant's case and advise Appellant regarding potential errors. Nine cases have priority over the present case:

1. *United States v. Edwards*, ACM 40349: The trial transcript is 1505 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of 12 volumes containing 37 prosecution exhibits, 38 defense exhibits, 70 appellate exhibits, and one court exhibit. Counsel has completed review of the record of trial and is currently drafting the Assignment of Errors brief.
2. *United States v. Greene-Watson*, ACM 40293: The trial transcript is 536 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of 11 volumes containing 21 prosecution exhibits, 12 defense exhibits, 46 appellate exhibits, and one court exhibit.
3. *United States v. Flores*, ACM 40294: The petition for grant of review is due to the CAAF on 7 June 2023.
4. *United States v. Emerson*, ACM 40297: The trial transcript is 255 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of four volumes containing seven prosecutions exhibits, seven defense exhibits, 27 appellate exhibits, and zero court exhibits.
5. *United States v. Dugan*, ACM 40320: The trial transcript is 225 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of four volumes containing six prosecutions exhibits, 22 defense exhibits, 10 appellate exhibits, and zero court exhibits.



6. *United States v. Milla*, ACM 40307: The trial transcript is 210 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of five volumes containing three prosecutions exhibits, nine defense exhibits, 22 appellate exhibits, and zero court exhibits.
7. *United States v. Douglas*, ACM 40324: The trial transcript is 777 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of five volumes containing 11 prosecution exhibits, 13 defense exhibits, 56 appellate exhibits, and zero court exhibits.
8. *United States v. Cook*, ACM 40333: The trial transcript is 639 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of 11 volumes containing 28 prosecutions exhibits, 10 defense exhibits, 48 appellate exhibits, and zero court exhibits.
9. *United States v. Henderson*, ACM 40338: The trial transcript is 634 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of five volumes containing 18 prosecution exhibits, six defense exhibits, 36 appellate exhibits, and two court exhibits.

Appellant was advised of his right to a timely appeal. Appellant was advised of the request for this enlargement of time. Appellant has provided limited consent to disclose a confidential communication with counsel wherein he consented to the request for this enlargement of time.

**WHEREFORE**, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time.

Respectfully submitted,

HEATHER M. CAINE, Maj, USAF  
Appellate Defense Counsel  
Air Force Appellate Defense Division  
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100  
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604

**CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE**

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Appellate Government Division on 12 May 2023.

Respectfully submitted,

HEATHER M. CAINE, Maj, USAF  
Appellate Defense Counsel  
Air Force Appellate Defense Division  
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100  
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604

**IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

UNITED STATES,	)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
	)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
v.	)	OF TIME
	)	
Airman First Class (E-3)	)	ACM S32743
ADAM C. PRATSCHLER, USAF	)	
<i>Appellant.</i>	)	Panel No. 1
	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF  
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.

MARY ELLEN PAYNE  
Associate Chief, Government Trial and  
Appellate Operations Division  
Military Justice and Discipline  
United States Air Force

**CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE**

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force  
Appellate Defense Division on 12 May 2023.

MARY ELLEN PAYNE  
Associate Chief, Government Trial and  
Appellate Operations Division  
Military Justice and Discipline  
United States Air Force

**IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

<b>UNITED STATES</b>	)	<b>MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF</b>
<i>Appellee</i>	)	<b>TIME (FIFTH)</b>
	)	
v.	)	Before Panel No. 1
	)	
Airman First Class (E-3)	)	No. ACM S32743
<b>ADAM C. PRATSCHLER</b>	)	
United States Air Force	)	12 June 2023
<i>Appellant</i>	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE  
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(2) and (6) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for an enlargement of time to file an Assignments of Error (AOE). Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 30 days, which will end on **19 July 2023**. The record of trial was docketed with this Court on 21 November 2022. From the date of docketing to the present date, 203 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 240 days will have elapsed. Undersigned counsel withdraws the previously filed Motion for Enlargement of Time (Fifth) due to a scrivener’s error of “103 days” having elapsed from the date of docketing to the present date.

On 4 October 2022, at a special court-martial convened at Dyess Air Force Base, Texas, Appellant was found guilty, consistent with his pleas, of one charge and two specifications of Article 112a, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ); and was found not guilty, consistent with his pleas, of two more specifications of Article 112a, UCMJ. Record of Trial (ROT), Vol. 1, *Entry of Judgement*, 2 November 2022. The military judge sentenced Appellant to a Reprimand, reduction to the rank of E-1, 3 months’ confinement and a bad conduct discharge. *Id.* Appellant was given 36 days of pretrial confinement credit. *Id.* The convening authority took

no action on the findings and sentence. ROT, Vol. 1, *Convening Authority Decision on Action*, 26 October 2022.

The trial transcript is 141 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of four volumes containing seven prosecution exhibits, two defense exhibits, four appellate exhibits, and zero court exhibits. Appellant is not currently confined.

Undersigned counsel is currently assigned 18 cases, with 10 initial briefs pending before this Court. Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been working on other assigned matters and has yet to complete her review of Appellant's case. Accordingly, an enlargement of time is necessary to allow undersigned counsel to fully review Appellant's case and advise Appellant regarding potential errors. Since filing a Motion for EOT 4 in this case, undersigned counsel has filed the Brief on Behalf of Appellant in *United States v. Edwards* (ACM 40349); the Reply Brief in *United States v. Walker* (ACM S32737); a Motion to Withdraw from Appellate Review and Motion to Attach in *United States v. Milla* (ACM 40307); a Response to the Government's Motion to Dismiss in *United States v. Cooley* (ACM 40376); and the Petition and Supplement to the Petition for Grant of Review in *United States v. Flores* (ACM 40294). There are now six cases before this Court with priority over the present case:

1. *United States v. Greene-Watson*, ACM 40293: The trial transcript is 536 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of 11 volumes containing 21 prosecution exhibits, 12 defense exhibits, 46 appellate exhibits, and one court exhibit. Counsel has reviewed the record of trial and returned to drafting the Assignments of Error after filing two of the above mentioned filings.
2. *United States v. Emerson*, ACM 40297: The trial transcript is 255 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of four volumes containing seven prosecutions exhibits, seven

defense exhibits, 27 appellate exhibits, and zero court exhibits.

3. *United States v. Dugan*, ACM 40320: The trial transcript is 225 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of four volumes containing six prosecutions exhibits, 22 defense exhibits, 10 appellate exhibits, and zero court exhibits.
4. *United States v. Douglas*, ACM 40324: The trial transcript is 777 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of five volumes containing 11 prosecution exhibits, 13 defense exhibits, 56 appellate exhibits, and zero court exhibits.
5. *United States v. Cook*, ACM 40333: The trial transcript is 639 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of 11 volumes containing 28 prosecutions exhibits, 10 defense exhibits, 48 appellate exhibits, and zero court exhibits.
6. *United States v. Henderson*, ACM 40338: The trial transcript is 634 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of five volumes containing 18 prosecution exhibits, six defense exhibits, 36 appellate exhibits, and two court exhibits.

Appellant was advised of his right to a timely appeal. Appellant was advised of the request for this enlargement of time. Appellant has provided limited consent to disclose a confidential communication with counsel wherein he consented to the request for this enlargement of time.

**WHEREFORE**, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time.

Respectfully submitted,

HEATHER M. CAINE, Maj, USAF  
Appellate Defense Counsel  
Air Force Appellate Defense Division  
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100  
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604

**CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE**

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Appellate Government Division on 12 June 2023.

Respectfully submitted,

HEATHER M. CAINE, Maj, USAF  
Appellate Defense Counsel  
Air Force Appellate Defense Division  
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100  
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604



**IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

UNITED STATES,	)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
	)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
v.	)	OF TIME
	)	
Airman First Class (E-3)	)	ACM S32743
ADAM C. PRATSCHLER, USAF	)	
<i>Appellant.</i>	)	Panel No. 1
	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF  
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.

OLIVIA B. HOFF, Capt, USAF  
Appellate Government Counsel  
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division  
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate  
United States Air Force

**CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE**

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force Appellate Defense Division on 13 June 2023.

OLIVIA B. HOFF, Capt, USAF  
Appellate Government Counsel  
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division  
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate  
United States Air Force

**UNITED STATES AIR FORCE  
COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

UNITED STATES	)	No. ACM S32743
<i>Appellee</i>	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	<b>ORDER</b>
Adam C. PRATSCHLER	)	
Airman First Class (E-3)	)	
U.S. Air Force	)	
<i>Appellant</i>	)	<b>Panel 1</b>

On 12 June 2023, counsel for Appellant submitted a Motion for Enlargement of Time (Fifth) requesting an additional 30 days to submit Appellant's assignments of error. The Government opposes the motion.

The court has considered Appellant's motion, the Government's opposition, case law, and this court's Rules of Practice and Procedure. Accordingly, it is by the court on this 14th day of June, 2023,

**ORDERED:**

Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time (Fifth) is **GRANTED**. Appellant shall file any assignments of error not later than 19 July 2023.

Any subsequent motions for enlargement of time shall, in addition to the matters required under this court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, include a statement as to: (1) whether Appellant was advised of Appellant's right to a timely appeal, (2) whether Appellant was advised of the request for an enlargement of time, and (3) whether Appellant agrees with the request for an enlargement of time.



FOR THE COURT

F. V. V., Capt, USAF  
Deputy Clerk of the Court

**IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

<b>UNITED STATES</b>	)	<b>MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF</b>
<i>Appellee</i>	)	<b>TIME (SIXTH)</b>
	)	
v.	)	Before Panel No. 1
	)	
Airman First Class (E-3)	)	No. ACM S32743
<b>ADAM C. PRATSCHLER</b>	)	
United States Air Force	)	12 July 2023
<i>Appellant</i>	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE  
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(2) and (6) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for an enlargement of time to file an Assignments of Error (AOE). Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 30 days, which will end on **18 August 2023**. The record of trial was docketed with this Court on 21 November 2022. From the date of docketing to the present date, 233 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 270 days will have elapsed.

On 4 October 2022, at a special court-martial convened at Dyess Air Force Base, Texas, Appellant was found guilty, consistent with his pleas, of one charge and two specifications of Article 112a, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ); and was found not guilty, consistent with his pleas, of two more specifications of Article 112a, UCMJ. Record of Trial (ROT), Vol. 1, *Entry of Judgement*, 2 November 2022. The military judge sentenced Appellant to a Reprimand, reduction to the rank of E-1, 3 months’ confinement and a bad conduct discharge. *Id.* Appellant was given 36 days of pretrial confinement credit. *Id.* The convening authority took no action on the findings and sentence. ROT, Vol. 1, *Convening Authority Decision on Action*, 26 October 2022.

The trial transcript is 141 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of four volumes containing seven prosecution exhibits, two defense exhibits, four appellate exhibits, and zero court exhibits. Appellant is not currently confined.

Undersigned counsel is currently assigned 18 cases, with 8 initial briefs pending before this Court. Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been working on other assigned matters and has yet to complete her review of Appellant's case. Accordingly, an enlargement of time is necessary to allow undersigned counsel to fully review Appellant's case and advise Appellant regarding potential errors. Since filing a Motion for EOT 5 in this case, undersigned counsel has filed the Brief on Behalf of Appellant in *United States v. Greene-Watson* (ACM 40293); Reply Brief on Behalf of Appellant in *United States v. Edwards* (ACM 40349); and the Brief on Behalf of Appellant in *United States v. Emerson* (ACM 40297). There are now four cases before this Court with priority over the present case:

1. *United States v. Dugan*, ACM 40320: The trial transcript is 225 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of four volumes containing six prosecutions exhibits, 22 defense exhibits, 10 appellate exhibits, and zero court exhibits. Reservist co-counsel was assigned and completed review of the record of trial. Undersigned counsel anticipates completing review of the record by tomorrow and has begun drafting the Assignments of Error.
2. *United States v. Douglas*, ACM 40324: The trial transcript is 777 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of five volumes containing 11 prosecution exhibits, 13 defense exhibits, 56 appellate exhibits, and zero court exhibits.
3. *United States v. Cook*, ACM 40333: The trial transcript is 639 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of 11 volumes containing 28 prosecutions exhibits, 10 defense exhibits, 48 appellate exhibits, and zero court exhibits.

4. *United States v. Henderson*, ACM 40338: The trial transcript is 634 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of five volumes containing 18 prosecution exhibits, six defense exhibits, 36 appellate exhibits, and two court exhibits.

Appellant was advised of his right to a timely appeal. Appellant was advised of the request for this enlargement of time. Appellant has provided limited consent to disclose a confidential communication with counsel wherein he consented to the request for this enlargement of time.

**WHEREFORE**, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time.

Respectfully submitted,

HEATHER M. CAINE, Maj, USAF  
Appellate Defense Counsel  
Air Force Appellate Defense Division  
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100  
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604

**CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE**

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Appellate Government Division on 12 July 2023.

Respectfully submitted,

HEATHER M. CAINE, Maj, USAF  
Appellate Defense Counsel  
Air Force Appellate Defense Division  
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100  
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604

**IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

UNITED STATES,	)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
	)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
v.	)	OF TIME
	)	
Airman First Class (E-3)	)	ACM S32743
ADAM C. PRATSCHLER, USAF	)	
<i>Appellant.</i>	)	Panel No. 1
	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF  
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.

PETE FERRELL, Lt Col, USAF  
Director of Operations  
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division  
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate  
United States Air Force



**CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE**

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force Appellate Defense Division on 13 July 2023.

PETE FERRELL, Lt Col, USAF  
Director of Operations  
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division  
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate  
United States Air Force

**IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

<b>UNITED STATES</b>	)	<b>MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF</b>
<i>Appellee</i>	)	<b>TIME (SEVENTH)</b>
	)	
v.	)	Before Panel No. 1
	)	
Airman First Class (E-3)	)	No. ACM S32743
<b>ADAM C. PRATSCHLER</b>	)	
United States Air Force	)	11 August 2023
<i>Appellant</i>	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE  
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(2) and (6) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for an enlargement of time to file an Assignments of Error (AOE). Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 30 days, which will end on **17 September 2023**. The record of trial was docketed with this Court on 21 November 2022. From the date of docketing to the present date, 263 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 300 days will have elapsed.

On 4 October 2022, at a special court-martial convened at Dyess Air Force Base, Texas, Appellant was found guilty, consistent with his pleas, of one charge and two specifications of Article 112a, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ); and was found not guilty, consistent with his pleas, of two more specifications of Article 112a, UCMJ. Record of Trial (ROT), Vol. 1, *Entry of Judgement*, 2 November 2022. The military judge sentenced Appellant to a Reprimand, reduction to the rank of E-1, 3 months’ confinement and a bad conduct discharge. *Id.* Appellant was given 36 days of pretrial confinement credit. *Id.* The convening authority took no action on the findings and sentence. ROT, Vol. 1, *Convening Authority Decision on Action*, 26 October 2022.

The trial transcript is 141 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of four volumes containing seven prosecution exhibits, two defense exhibits, four appellate exhibits, and zero court exhibits. Appellant is not currently confined.

Undersigned counsel is currently assigned 23 cases, with 11 initial briefs pending before this Court. Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been working on other assigned matters and has yet to complete her review of Appellant's case. Accordingly, an enlargement of time is necessary to allow undersigned counsel to fully review Appellant's case and advise Appellant regarding potential errors. Since filing a Motion for EOT 6 in this case, undersigned counsel has filed a Reply Brief on Behalf of Appellant in *United States v. Greene-Watson* (ACM 40293); and a Brief on Behalf of Appellant in *United States v. Dugan* (40320). Additionally, on 20 July 2023, the Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces (CAAF) granted an issue for review in *United States v. Flores* (ACM 40294) with a brief due on or before 21 August 2023. On 27 July 2023, the CAAF also granted an issue for review in *United States v. Guihama* (ACM 40039) with a brief originally due 28 August 2023, but now due 27 September 2023. There are now three cases before this Court with priority over the present case:

1. *United States v. Douglas*, ACM 40324: The trial transcript is 777 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of five volumes containing 11 prosecution exhibits, 13 defense exhibits, 56 appellate exhibits, and zero court exhibits. Undersigned counsel completed review of the sealed materials in this case on 2 August 2023. Counsel has yet to review the rest of the record.
2. *United States v. Henderson*, ACM 40338: The trial transcript is 634 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of five volumes containing 18 prosecution exhibits, six defense exhibits, 36 appellate exhibits, and two court exhibits. Undersigned counsel has since

prioritized this case over *United States v. Cook*, ACM 40333, given the appellant in *Henderson* is currently confined and the appellant in *Cook* is not. The Consent Motion to Examine Sealed Materials in *Henderson* was granted by this Court on 9 August 2023. Undersigned counsel has yet to review the sealed materials.

3. *United States v. Cook*, ACM 40333: The trial transcript is 639 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of 11 volumes containing 28 prosecutions exhibits, 10 defense exhibits, 48 appellate exhibits, and zero court exhibits.

Appellant was advised of his right to a timely appeal. Appellant was advised of the request for this enlargement of time. Appellant has provided limited consent to disclose a confidential communication with counsel wherein he consented to the request for this enlargement of time.

**WHEREFORE**, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time.

Respectfully submitted,

HEATHER M. CAINE, Maj, USAF  
Appellate Defense Counsel  
Air Force Appellate Defense Division  
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100  
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604

**CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE**

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Appellate Government Division on 11 August 2023.

Respectfully submitted,

HEATHER M. CAINE, Maj, USAF  
Appellate Defense Counsel  
Air Force Appellate Defense Division  
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100  
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604

**IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

UNITED STATES,	)	UNITED STATES' OPPOSITION
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	TO APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR
	)	ENLARGEMENT OF TIME
v.	)	
	)	
Airman First Class (E-3)	)	ACM S32743
ADAM C. PRATSCHLER, USAF	)	
<i>Appellant.</i>	)	Panel No. 1
	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF  
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time.

The United States respectfully maintains that short of a death penalty case or other extraordinary circumstances, it should not take any appellant nearly a year to submit an assignment of error to this Court. If Appellant's new delay request is granted, the defense delay in this case will be 300 days in length. Appellant's nearly year-long delay practically ensures this Court will not be able to issue a decision that complies with our superior Court's appellate processing standards. Appellant has already consumed almost two-thirds of the 18-month standard for this Court to issue a decision, which only leaves about 8 months combined for the United States and this Court to perform their separate statutory responsibilities. It appears that Appellant's counsel has not completed review of the record of trial at this late stage of the appellate process.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.

PETE FERRELL, Lt Col, USAF  
Director of Operations  
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division  
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate  
United States Air Force

**CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE**

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force Appellate Defense Division on 14 August 2023.

PETE FERRELL, Lt Col, USAF  
Director of Operations  
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division  
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate  
United States Air Force



**IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

<b>UNITED STATES</b>	)	<b>MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF</b>
	)	<b>TIME (EIGHTH)</b>
	)	
v.	)	Before Panel No. 1
	)	
Airman First Class (E-3)	)	No. ACM S32743
<b>ADAM C. PRATSCHLER</b>	)	
United States Air Force	)	8 September 2023
<i>Appellant</i>	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE  
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(2) and (6) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for an enlargement of time to file an Assignments of Error (AOE). Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 30 days, which will end on **17 October 2023**. The record of trial was docketed with this Court on 21 November 2022. From the date of docketing to the present date, 291 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 330 days will have elapsed.

On 4 October 2022, at a special court-martial convened at Dyess Air Force Base, Texas, Appellant was found guilty, consistent with his pleas, of one charge and two specifications of Article 112a, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ); and was found not guilty, consistent with his pleas, of two more specifications of Article 112a, UCMJ. Record of Trial (ROT), Vol. 1, *Entry of Judgement*, 2 November 2022. The military judge sentenced Appellant to a Reprimand, reduction to the rank of E-1, 3 months’ confinement and a bad conduct discharge. *Id.* Appellant was given 36 days of pretrial confinement credit. *Id.* The convening authority took no action on the findings and sentence. ROT, Vol. 1, *Convening Authority Decision on Action*, 26 October 2022.

The trial transcript is 141 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of four volumes containing seven prosecution exhibits, two defense exhibits, four appellate exhibits, and zero court exhibits. Appellant is not currently confined.

Undersigned counsel is currently assigned 23 cases, with 11 initial briefs pending before this Court. Through no fault of Appellant, undersigned counsel has been working on other assigned matters and has yet to complete her review of Appellant's case. Accordingly, an enlargement of time is necessary to allow undersigned counsel to fully review Appellant's case and advise Appellant regarding potential errors. Since filing a Motion for EOT 7 in this case, undersigned counsel has filed the Grant Brief in *United States v. Flores* (ACM 40294) with the Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces (CAAF). Undersigned counsel also planned and coordinated the 10th Annual Joint Appellate Advocacy Training (JAAT), which was held 24-25 August 2023. There was a scheduled Family Day and Holiday 1-4 September 2023. Undersigned counsel also has scheduled and approved leave Monday through Thursday, 11-14 September 2023. Undersigned counsel has two Reply Briefs due to this Court in *United States v. Emerson* (ACM 40297), calculated as being due 20 September 2023, and in *United States v. Dugan* (ACM 40320), now calculated as being due 21 September 2023.

On 27 July 2023, the CAAF also granted an issue for review in *United States v. Guihama* (ACM 40039) with a brief originally due 28 August 2023, but now due 27 September 2023. Finally, the Reply Brief in *United States v. Flores* (ACM 40294) is due to the CAAF on or before 30 September 2023. There are currently three cases before this Court with priority over the present case:

1. *United States v. Douglas*, ACM 40324: The trial transcript is 777 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of five volumes containing 11 prosecution exhibits, 13 defense

exhibits, 56 appellate exhibits, and zero court exhibits. Undersigned counsel completed review of the sealed materials in this case on 2 August 2023. Counsel has reviewed the entire record and drafted the Assignments of Error, which is currently being reviewed.

2. *United States v. Henderson*, ACM 40338: The trial transcript is 634 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of five volumes containing 18 prosecution exhibits, six defense exhibits, 36 appellate exhibits, and two court exhibits. Undersigned counsel has since prioritized this case over *United States v. Cook*, ACM 40333, given the appellant in *Henderson* is currently confined and the appellant in *Cook* is not. The Consent Motion to Examine Sealed Materials in *Henderson* was granted by this Court on 9 August 2023. Undersigned counsel has yet to review the sealed materials.
3. *United States v. Cook*, ACM 40333: The trial transcript is 639 pages long and the record of trial is comprised of 11 volumes containing 28 prosecutions exhibits, 10 defense exhibits, 48 appellate exhibits, and zero court exhibits.

Appellant was advised of his right to a timely appeal. Appellant was advised of the request for this enlargement of time. Appellant has provided limited consent to disclose a confidential communication with counsel wherein he consented to the request for this enlargement of time.

**WHEREFORE**, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time.

Respectfully submitted,

HEATHER M. CAINE, Maj, USAF  
Appellate Defense Counsel  
Air Force Appellate Defense Division  
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100  
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604

**CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE**

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Appellate Government Division on 8 September 2023.

Respectfully submitted,

HEATHER M. CAINE, Maj, USAF  
Appellate Defense Counsel  
Air Force Appellate Defense Division  
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100  
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604

**IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

UNITED STATES,	)	UNITED STATES' OPPOSITION
<i>Appellee,</i>	)	TO APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR
	)	ENLARGEMENT OF TIME
v.	)	
	)	
Airman First Class (E-3)	)	ACM S32743
ADAM C. PRATSCHLER, USAF	)	
<i>Appellant.</i>	)	Panel No. 1
	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF  
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time.

The United States respectfully maintains that short of a death penalty case or other extraordinary circumstances, it should not take any appellant nearly a year to submit an assignment of error to this Court. If Appellant's new delay request is granted, the defense delay in this case will be 330 days in length. Appellant's nearly year-long delay practically ensures this Court will not be able to issue a decision that complies with our superior Court's appellate processing standards. Appellant has already consumed almost two-thirds of the 18-month standard for this Court to issue a decision, which only leaves about 7 months combined for the United States and this Court to perform their separate statutory responsibilities. It appears that Appellant's counsel has not completed review of the record of trial at this late stage of the appellate process.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.

MARY ELLEN PAYNE  
Associate Chief, Government Trial and  
Appellate Operations Division  
Military Justice and Discipline  
United States Air Force

**CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE**

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force Appellate Defense Division on 11 September 2023.

MARY ELLEN PAYNE  
Associate Chief, Government Trial and  
Appellate Operations Division  
Military Justice and Discipline  
United States Air Force

**IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

<b>UNITED STATES</b>	)	<b>MOTION TO WITHDRAW FROM</b>
<i>Appellee</i>	)	<b>APPELLATE REVIEW AND</b>
	)	<b>MOTION TO ATTACH</b>
	)	
v.	)	Before Panel No. 1
	)	
Airman First Class (E-3)	)	No. ACM S32743
<b>ADAM C. PRATSCHLER</b>	)	
United States Air Force	)	28 September 2023
<i>Appellant</i>	)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE  
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 16 of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, and Rule for Courts-Martial (R.C.M.) 1115, Appellant hereby moves to withdraw his case from appellate review. Appellant has fully consulted with Maj Heather M. Caine, his appellate defense counsel, regarding this motion to withdraw. No person has compelled, coerced, or induced Appellant by force, promises of clemency, or otherwise to withdraw his case from appellate review. Further, pursuant to Rules 23(b) and 23.3(b) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, undersigned counsel asks this Court to attach the two-page document appended to this pleading to Appellant’s Record of Trial. The appended document is necessary to comply with R.C.M. 1115(d) and Rule 16.1 of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure.



**WHEREFORE**, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the above captioned motion to withdraw from appellate review and likewise grant his request to attach matters to the record.

Respectfully submitted,

HEATHER M. CAINE, Maj, USAF  
Appellate Defense Counsel  
Air Force Appellate Defense Division  
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100  
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604

**CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE**

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Appellate Government Division on 28 September 2023.

Respectfully submitted,

HEATHER M. CAINE, Maj, USAF  
Appellate Defense Counsel  
Air Force Appellate Defense Division  
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100  
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604

**UNITED STATES AIR FORCE  
COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

<b>UNITED STATES</b>	)	<b>No. ACM S32743</b>
<i>Appellee</i>	)	
	)	
<b>v.</b>	)	
	)	<b>ORDER</b>
<b>Adam C. PRATSCHLER</b>	)	
<b>Airman First Class (E-3)</b>	)	
<b>U.S. Air Force</b>	)	
<i>Appellant</i>	)	<b>Panel 1</b>

On 28 September 2023, Appellant submitted a Motion to Withdraw from Appellant Review and Motion to Attach. Specifically, Appellant moved to attach a DD Form 2330, *Waiver/Withdrawal of Appellate Rights in General and Special Courts-Martial Subject to Review by a Court of Criminal Appeals*, signed by Appellant and Appellant’s counsel on 28 September 2023.

The Government did not submit any opposition.

Accordingly, it is by the court on this 5th day of October, 2023,

**ORDERED:**

Appellant’s Motion to Withdraw from Appellate Review and Motion to Attach are **GRANTED**. Appellant’s case is forwarded to the Appellate Records Branch (JAJM) for further processing in accordance with Rules for Courts-Martial 1115(f)(3) and 1201, *Manual for Courts-Martial, United States* (2019 ed.).



FOR THE COURT

CAROL K. JOYCE  
Clerk of the Court