

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing was sent via email to the Court and served on the Air Force Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 7 July 2025.



SAMANTHA M. CASTANIEN, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604
Office: (240) 612-4770




IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
)	OF TIME
v.)	
)	
Airman Basic (E-1))	Before Panel No. 1
DYLAN M. FIFE,)	No. ACM 40829
United States Air Force,)	
<i>Appellant.</i>)	8 July 2025
)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.



VANESSA BAIROS, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate
United States Air Force
(240) 612-4800

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force
Appellate Defense Division on 8 July 2025.



VANESSA BAIROS, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate
United States Air Force
(240) 612-4800

**UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

UNITED STATES)	No. ACM 40829
<i>Appellee</i>)	
)	
v.)	
)	ORDER
Dylan M. FIFE)	
Airman Basic (E-1))	
U.S. Air Force)	
<i>Appellant</i>)	Panel 1

On 7 July 2025, counsel for Appellant submitted a Motion for Enlargement of Time (First), requesting an additional 60 days to submit Appellant’s assignments of error. The Government opposes the motion.

The court has considered Appellant’s motion, the Government’s opposition, case law, and this court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Accordingly, it is by the court on this 9th day of July, 2025,


ORDERED:

Appellant’s Motion for Enlargement of Time (First) is **GRANTED**. Appellant shall file any assignments of error not later than **18 September 2025**.

Each request for an enlargement of time will be considered on its merits. Appellant’s counsel is advised that any subsequent motions for enlargement of time shall include, in addition to matters required under this court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, statements as to: (1) whether Appellant was advised of Appellant’s right to a timely appeal, (2) whether Appellant was provided an update of the status of counsel’s progress on Appellant’s case, (3) whether Appellant was advised of the request for an enlargement of time, and (4) whether Appellant agrees with the request for an enlargement of time.



FOR THE COURT



SEAN J. SULLIVAN, Maj, USAF
Acting Clerk of Court

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES)	APPELLANT’S MOTION FOR
)	ENLARGEMENT OF TIME (SECOND)
)	
v.)	Before Panel 1
)	
Airman Basic (E-1))	No. ACM 40829
DYLAN M. FIFE)	
United States Air Force)	28 August 2025
<i>Appellant.</i>)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(3) and (4) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for his second enlargement of time to file an Assignment of Error (AOE) brief. Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 30 days, which will end on **18 October 2025**. This case was docketed with this Court on 21 May 2025. From the date of docketing to the present date, ninety-nine days have elapsed. On the date requested, 150 days will have elapsed.

On 13 August 2024 and on 16 December 2024, Appellant was tried by a general court-martial consisting of a military judge alone at the United States Air Force Academy, Colorado. Record (R). at 1, 168. Consistent with his pleas, the military judge found Appellant guilty of two specifications of willful dereliction of duty, both in violation of Article 92, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), 10 U.S.C. § 892; one specification of domestic violence in violation of Article 128b, UCMJ, 10 U.S.C. § 928b; and one specification of extramarital sexual conduct in violation of Article 134, UCMJ, 10 U.S.C. § 934. R. at 186, 289. On 16 December 2024, the military judge sentenced Appellant to a bad conduct discharge and 17 months of confinement. R. at 318. The convening authority took no action on the findings or the sentence. Record of Trial

(ROT), Vol. 1, Convening Authority Decision on Action – United States v. Airman First Class Dylan M. Fife.


The digital record of trial is 837 pages consisting of twenty-two appellate exhibits, three prosecution exhibits, six defense exhibits, and one court exhibit. The transcript is 319 pages long. Appellant is currently confined.

Through no fault of Appellant, the undersigned counsel has been unable to complete her review and prepare a brief of Appellant's case. An enlargement of time is necessary to allow counsel time to fully review Appellant's case and advise Appellant regarding potential errors. Appellant has provided limited consent to disclose confidential communications, wherein:

- (1) Appellant has been advised of his right to a timely appeal.
- (2) Appellant has been advised of this request for enlargement of time.
- (3) Appellant has been apprised of the status of undersigned counsel's progress on his case.
- (4) Appellant has specifically consented to this enlargement of time.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time for good cause shown.

Respectfully submitted,



OLGA STANFORD, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
United States Air Force
(240) 612-4770



CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 28 August 2025.

Respectfully submitted,

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of Olga Stanford.

OLGA STANFORD, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
United States Air Force
(240) 612-4770

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of Olga Stanford.


IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
)	OF TIME
v.)	
)	
)	Before Panel No. 1
Airman Basic (E-1))	
DYLAN M. FIFE,)	No. ACM 40829
United States Air Force,)	
<i>Appellant.</i>)	2 September 2025
)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.



VANESSA BAIROS, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate
United States Air Force
(240) 612-4800

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force
Appellate Defense Division on 2 September 2025.



VANESSA BAIROS, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate
United States Air Force
(240) 612-4800

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	MOTION FOR WITHDRAWAL OF
<i>Appellee,</i>)	APPELLATE DEFENSE COUNSEL
)	
v.)	
)	Before Special Panel
Airman Basic (E-1))	
DYLAN M. FIFE,)	No. ACM 40829
United States Air Force,)	
<i>Appellant.</i>)	4 September 2025

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rules 12(b), 12.4, and 23.3(h) of this Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, undersigned counsel respectfully requests to withdraw as counsel in the above-captioned case. Undersigned counsel is withdrawing to allow a more available appellate defense counsel take over Appellant’s case. Undersigned counsel currently has thirteen cases prioritized over Appellant’s, which are delaying review of Appellant’s case.

Captain Olga Stanford has been detailed substitute counsel in undersigned counsel’s stead. She has already filed a notice of appearance in accordance with Rule 12.4. *See* Appellant’s Motion for Enlargement of Time (Second), 28 August 2025 (containing Capt Stanford’s signature pursuant to Rule 14). A thorough turnover of the record between counsel has been completed.

Appellant has been advised of this motion to withdraw as counsel and consents to undersigned counsel’s withdrawal. A copy of this motion will be delivered to Appellant following its filing.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Court grant this motion.

Respectfully submitted,




SAMANTHA M. CASTANIEN, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604
Office: (240) 612-4770



CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Air Force Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 4 September 2025.



SAMANTHA M. CASTANIEN, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 1100
Joint Base Andrews NAF, MD 20762-6604
Office: (240) 612-4770



**UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

UNITED STATES)	No. ACM 40829
<i>Appellee</i>)	
)	
v.)	
)	NOTICE OF
Dylan M. FIFE)	PANEL CHANGE
Airman Basic (E-1))	
U.S. Air Force)	
<i>Appellant</i>)	

It is by the court on this 3d day of September, 2025,


ORDERED:

The record of trial in the above styled matter is withdrawn from Panel 1 and referred to a Special Panel for appellate review.

The Special Panel in this matter shall be constituted as follows:

GRUEN, PATRICIA A., Colonel, Senior Appellate Military Judge
KEARLEY, CYNTHIA T., Colonel, Appellate Military Judge
MCCALL, KRISTIN K.B., Colonel, Appellate Military Judge

This panel letter supersedes all previous panel assignments.



JACOB B. HOEFERKAMP, Capt, USAF
Chief Commissioner

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES)	APPELLANT’S MOTION FOR
<i>Appellee</i>)	ENLARGEMENT OF TIME (THIRD)
)	
v.)	Before Special Panel
)	
Airman Basic (E-1))	No. ACM 40829
DYLAN M. FIFE)	
United States Air Force)	8 October 2025
<i>Appellant.</i>)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(3) and (4) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for his third enlargement of time to file an Assignment of Error (AOE) brief. Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 30 days, which will end on **17 November 2025**. This case was docketed with this Court on 21 May 2025. From the date of docketing to the present date, 140 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 180 days will have elapsed.

On 13 August 2024 and on 16 December 2024, Appellant was tried by a general court-martial consisting of a military judge alone at the United States Air Force Academy, Colorado. Record (R). at 1, 168. Consistent with his pleas, the military judge found Appellant guilty of two specifications of willful dereliction of duty, both in violation of Article 92, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), 10 U.S.C. § 892; one specification of domestic violence in violation of Article 128b, UCMJ, 10 U.S.C. § 928b; and one specification of extramarital sexual conduct in violation of Article 134, UCMJ, 10 U.S.C. § 934. R. at 186, 289. On 16 December 2024, the military judge sentenced Appellant to a bad conduct discharge and 17 months of confinement. R. at 318. The convening authority took no action on the findings or the sentence. Record of Trial

(ROT), Vol. 1, Convening Authority Decision on Action – United States v. Airman First Class Dylan M. Fife.


The digital record of trial is 837 pages consisting of twenty-two appellate exhibits, three prosecution exhibits, six defense exhibits, and one court exhibit. The transcript is 319 pages long. Appellant is currently confined.

Through no fault of Appellant, the undersigned counsel has been unable to complete her review and prepare a brief of Appellant's case. An enlargement of time is necessary to allow counsel time to fully review Appellant's case and advise Appellant regarding potential errors. Appellant has provided limited consent to disclose confidential communications, wherein:

- (1) Appellant has been advised of his right to a timely appeal.
- (2) Appellant has been advised of this request for enlargement of time.
- (3) Appellant has been apprised of the status of undersigned counsel's progress on his case.
- (4) Appellant has specifically consented to this enlargement of time.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time for good cause shown.

Respectfully submitted,




OLGA STANFORD, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
United States Air Force
(240) 612-4770



CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 8 October 2025.

Respectfully submitted



OLGA STANFORD, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
United States Air Force
(240) 612-4770



IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
)	OF TIME
v.)	
)	
Airman Basic (E-1))	Before Panel No. 1
DYLAN M. FIFE,)	No. ACM 40829
United States Air Force,)	
<i>Appellant.</i>)	9 October 2025
)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.



VANESSA BAIROS, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate
United States Air Force
(240) 612-4800

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force
Appellate Defense Division on 9 October 2025.



VANESSA BAIROS, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate
United States Air Force
(240) 612-4800

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES)	APPELLANT’S MOTION FOR
)	ENLARGEMENT OF TIME (FOURTH)
)	
v.)	Before Special Panel
)	
Airman Basic (E-1))	No. ACM 40829
DYLAN M. FIFE)	
United States Air Force)	7 November 2025
<i>Appellant.</i>)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(3) and (4) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for his fourth enlargement of time to file an Assignment of Error (AOE) brief. Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 30 days, which will end on **17 December 2025**. This case was docketed with this Court on 21 May 2025. From the date of docketing to the present date, 170 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 210 days will have elapsed.

On 13 August 2024 and on 16 December 2024, Appellant was tried by a general court-martial consisting of a military judge alone at the United States Air Force Academy, Colorado. Record (R). at 1, 168. Consistent with his pleas, the military judge found Appellant guilty of two specifications of willful dereliction of duty, both in violation of Article 92, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), 10 U.S.C. § 892; one specification of domestic violence in violation of Article 128b, UCMJ, 10 U.S.C. § 928b; and one specification of extramarital sexual conduct in violation of Article 134, UCMJ, 10 U.S.C. § 934. R. at 186, 289. On 16 December 2024, the military judge sentenced Appellant to a bad conduct discharge and 17 months of confinement. R. at 318. The convening authority took no action on the findings or the sentence. Record of Trial

(ROT), Vol. 1, Convening Authority Decision on Action – United States v. Airman First Class Dylan M. Fife. Appellant is currently confined.

The digital record of trial is 837 pages consisting of twenty-two appellate exhibits, three prosecution exhibits, six defense exhibits, and one court exhibit. The transcript is 319 pages. Counsel is currently assigned nineteen cases; nineteen of those cases are pending before this Court (nineteen cases are pending AOE). Three cases have priority over this case:

1. *United States v. Anderson*, ACM No. 40850 – The digital record of trial is 2411 pages consisting of twenty-five appellate exhibits, twelve prosecution exhibits, seven defense exhibits, and one court exhibit. The transcript is 757 pages long. Appellant is currently confined. Undersigned counsel has completed her review of the record in this case, identified multiple errors, and is drafting the AOE brief.
2. *United States v. Broadway*, ACM No. 40834 – The digital record of trial is 1557 pages consisting of twenty-nine appellate exhibits, twenty-seven prosecution exhibits, and seven defense exhibits. The transcript is 744 pages long. Appellant is currently confined. Undersigned counsel has not completed her review of the record in this case.
3. *United States v. Fowler*, ACM No. S32821 – The digital record of trial is 346 pages consisting of three appellate exhibits and four prosecution exhibits. The transcript is seventy-nine pages long. Appellant is not currently confined. Undersigned counsel has not completed her review of the record in this case.

Through no fault of Appellant, the undersigned counsel has been unable to complete her review and prepare a brief of Appellant's case. An enlargement of time is necessary to allow


counsel time to fully review Appellant's case and advise Appellant regarding potential errors.

Appellant has provided limited consent to disclose confidential communications, wherein:

- (1) Appellant has been advised of his right to a timely appeal.
- (2) Appellant has been advised of this request for enlargement of time.
- (3) Appellant has been apprised of the status of undersigned counsel's progress on his case.
- (4) Appellant has specifically consented to this enlargement of time.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time for good cause shown.

Respectfully submitted,


OLGA STANFORD, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
United States Air Force
(240) 612-4770

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 7 November 2025.

Respectfully submitted,



OLGA STANFORD, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
United States Air Force
(240) 612-4770




IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
v.)	OF TIME
)	
Airman Basic (E-1))	Before a Special Panel
DYLAN M. FIFE,)	
United States Air Force,)	No. ACM 40829
<i>Appellant.</i>)	
)	12 November 2025
)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.



KATE E. LEE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial & Appellate Operations
1500 W. Perimeter Road, Suite 1190
Joint Base Andrews, MD
DSN: 612-4804

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force Appellate Defense Division on 12 November 2025.



KATE E. LEE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial & Appellate Operations
1500 W. Perimeter Road, Suite 1190
Joint Base Andrews, MD
DSN: 612-4804

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES)	APPELLANT’S MOTION FOR
<i>Appellee</i>)	ENLARGEMENT OF TIME (FIFTH)
)	
v.)	Before Special Panel
)	
Airman Basic (E-1))	No. ACM 40829
DYLAN M. FIFE)	
United States Air Force)	3 December 2025
<i>Appellant.</i>)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(3) and (4) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for his fifth enlargement of time to file an Assignment of Error (AOE) brief. Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 30 days, which will end on **16 January 2026**. This case was docketed with this Court on 21 May 2025. From the date of docketing to the present date, 196 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 240 days will have elapsed.

On 13 August 2024 and on 16 December 2024, Appellant was tried by a general court-martial consisting of a military judge alone at the United States Air Force Academy, Colorado. Record (R). at 1, 168. Consistent with his pleas, the military judge found Appellant guilty of two specifications of willful dereliction of duty, both in violation of Article 92, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), 10 U.S.C. § 892; one specification of domestic violence in violation of Article 128b, UCMJ, 10 U.S.C. § 928b; and one specification of extramarital sexual conduct in violation of Article 134, UCMJ, 10 U.S.C. § 934. R. at 186, 289. On 16 December 2024, the military judge sentenced Appellant to a bad conduct discharge and 17 months of confinement. R. at 318. The convening authority took no action on the findings or the sentence. Record of Trial

(ROT), Vol. 1, Convening Authority Decision on Action – United States v. Airman First Class Dylan M. Fife. Appellant is currently confined.

The digital record of trial is 837 pages consisting of twenty-two appellate exhibits, three prosecution exhibits, six defense exhibits, and one court exhibit. The transcript is 319 pages. Counsel is currently assigned twenty cases; twenty of those cases are pending before this Court (eighteen cases are pending AOE). Undersigned counsel expects to file reply brief in three of the cases pending before this court: *United States v. Anderson*, ACM No. 40850; *United States v. Bogert*, ACM No. 40855; and *United States v. Souza*, ACM No. S32813. Of the cases pending AOE brief, three cases have priority over this case:

1. *United States v. Anderson*, ACM No. 40850 – The digital record of trial is 2411 pages consisting of twenty-five appellate exhibits, twelve prosecution exhibits, seven defense exhibits, and one court exhibit. The transcript is 757 pages long. Appellant is currently confined. Undersigned counsel has completed her review of the record in this case, identified multiple errors, and submitted the AOE brief. On 2 December 2025, this Court denied Appellant’s Motion to Exceed Page Limit and Word Count and ordered Appellant to file a revised brief within fourteen days, by 17 December 2025.
2. *United States v. Broadway*, ACM No. 40834 – The digital record of trial is 1557 pages consisting of twenty-nine appellate exhibits, twenty-seven prosecution exhibits, and seven defense exhibits. The transcript is 744 pages long. Appellant is currently confined. Undersigned counsel has not completed her review of the record in this case.



3. *United States v. Fowler*, ACM No. S32821 – The digital record of trial is 346 pages consisting of three appellate exhibits and four prosecution exhibits. The transcript is seventy-nine pages long. Appellant is not currently confined. Undersigned counsel has not completed her review of the record in this case.

Through no fault of Appellant, the undersigned counsel has been unable to complete her review and prepare a brief of Appellant's case. An enlargement of time is necessary to allow counsel time to fully review Appellant's case and advise Appellant regarding potential errors. Appellant has provided limited consent to disclose confidential communications, wherein:

- (1) Appellant has been advised of his right to a timely appeal.
- (2) Appellant has been advised of this request for enlargement of time.
- (3) Appellant has been apprised of the status of undersigned counsel's progress on his case.
- (4) Appellant has specifically consented to this enlargement of time.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time for good cause shown.

Respectfully submitted,


OLGA STANFORD, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
United States Air Force
(240) 612-4770


CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 3 December 2025.



OLGA STANFORD, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
United States Air Force
(240) 612-4770




IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
)	OPPOSITION TO
<i>Appellee,</i>)	APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR
)	ENLARGEMENT OF TIME
v.)	
)	Before a Special Panel
Airman Basic (E-1))	
DYLAN M. FIFE,)	No. ACM 40829
United States Air Force.)	
<i>Appellant</i>)	5 December 2025

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.


WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.



VANESSA BAIROS, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate
United States Air Force
(240) 612-4800

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force
Appellate Defense Division on 5 December 2025.



VANESSA BAIROS, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division
Military Justice and Discipline Directorate
United States Air Force
(240) 612-4800

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES)	APPELLANT’S MOTION FOR
)	ENLARGEMENT OF TIME (SIXTH)
)	
v.)	Before Special Panel
)	
Airman Basic (E-1))	No. ACM 40829
DYLAN M. FIFE)	
United States Air Force)	17 December 2025
)	
<i>Appellant.</i>)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.3(m)(3) and (4) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, Appellant hereby moves for his sixth enlargement of time to file an Assignment of Error (AOE) brief. Appellant requests an enlargement for a period of 30 days, which will end on **15 February 2026**. This case was docketed with this Court on 21 May 2025. From the date of docketing to the present date, 210 days have elapsed. On the date requested, 270 days will have elapsed.

On 13 August 2024 and on 16 December 2024, Appellant was tried by a general court-martial consisting of a military judge alone at the United States Air Force Academy, Colorado. Record (R). at 1, 168. Consistent with his pleas, the military judge found Appellant guilty of two specifications of willful dereliction of duty, both in violation of Article 92, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ), 10 U.S.C. § 892; one specification of domestic violence in violation of Article 128b, UCMJ, 10 U.S.C. § 928b; and one specification of extramarital sexual conduct in violation of Article 134, UCMJ, 10 U.S.C. § 934. R. at 186, 289. On 16 December 2024, the military judge sentenced Appellant to a bad conduct discharge and 17 months of confinement. R. at 318. The convening authority took no action on the findings or the sentence. Record of Trial

(ROT), Vol. 1, Convening Authority Decision on Action – United States v. Airman First Class Dylan M. Fife. Appellant is currently confined.

The digital record of trial is 837 pages consisting of twenty-two appellate exhibits, three prosecution exhibits, six defense exhibits, and one court exhibit. The transcript is 319 pages. Counsel is currently assigned twenty cases; twenty of those cases are pending before this Court (seventeen cases are pending AOE). Undersigned counsel expects to file reply brief in two of the cases pending before this court: *United States v. Anderson*, ACM No. 40850 and *United States v. Bogert*, ACM No. 40855. Of the cases pending AOE brief, three cases have priority over this case:

1. *United States v. Broadway*, ACM No. 40834 – The digital record of trial is 1557 pages consisting of twenty-nine appellate exhibits, twenty-seven prosecution exhibits, and seven defense exhibits. The transcript is 744 pages long. Appellant is currently confined. Undersigned counsel has not completed her review of the record in this case.
2. *United States v. Fowler*, ACM No. S32821 – The digital record of trial is 346 pages consisting of three appellate exhibits and four prosecution exhibits. The transcript is seventy-nine pages long. Appellant is not currently confined. Undersigned counsel has not completed her review of the record in this case.

Through no fault of Appellant, the undersigned counsel has been unable to complete her review and prepare a brief of Appellant's case. An enlargement of time is necessary to allow counsel time to fully review Appellant's case and advise Appellant regarding potential errors. Appellant has provided limited consent to disclose confidential communications, wherein:

- (1) Appellant has been advised of his right to a timely appeal.


(2) Appellant has been advised of this request for enlargement of time.

(3) Appellant has been apprised of the status of undersigned counsel's progress on his case.


(4) Appellant has specifically consented to this enlargement of time.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the requested enlargement of time for good cause shown.

Respectfully submitted,



OLGA STANFORD, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
United States Air Force
(240) 612-4770



CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 17 December 2025.

Respectfully submitted,



OLGA STANFORD, *OL* Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
United States Air Force
(240) 612-4770




IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	UNITED STATES' GENERAL
<i>Appellee,</i>)	OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S
)	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT
v.)	OF TIME
)	
Airman Basic (E-1))	Before a Special Panel
DYLAN M. FIFE,)	
United States Air Force,)	No. ACM 40829
<i>Appellant.</i>)	
)	19 December 2025
)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF
THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 23.2 of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States hereby enters its general opposition to Appellant's Motion for Enlargement of Time to file an Assignment of Error in this case.


WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully requests that this Court deny Appellant's enlargement motion.



KATE E. LEE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial & Appellate Operations
1500 W. Perimeter Road, Suite 1190
Joint Base Andrews, MD
DSN: 612-4804

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was delivered to the Court and to the Air Force Appellate Defense Division on 19 December 2025.



KATE E. LEE, Maj, USAF
Appellate Government Counsel
Government Trial & Appellate Operations
1500 W. Perimeter Road, Suite 1190
Joint Base Andrews, MD
DSN: 612-4804

IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES)	MOTION TO WITHDRAW
<i>Appellee</i>)	FROM APPELLATE REVIEW
)	
v.)	Before Special Panel
)	
Airman Basic (E-1))	No. ACM 40829
DYLAN M. FIFE)	
United States Air Force)	4 February 2026
<i>Appellant.</i>)	

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS:**

Pursuant to Rule 16 of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure and Rule for Courts-Martial (R.C.M.) 1115, Appellant, Airman Basic Dylan M. Fife, moves to withdraw his case from appellate review.

Appellant has fully consulted with Captain Olga Stanford, his appellate defense counsel, regarding this motion to withdraw. No person has compelled, coerced, or induced Appellant to withdraw his case from appellate review by force, promises of clemency, or otherwise.

Further, pursuant to Rules 23(b) and 23.3(b) of this Honorable Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, undersigned counsel asks this Court to attach the two-page document appended to this pleading to the record of this proceeding. The appended document, Appellant’s completed DD Form 2330, *Waiver/Withdrawal of Appellate Rights in General and Special Courts-Martial Subject to Review by a Court of Criminal Appeals*, is necessary to comply with R.C.M. 1115(d) and Rule 16.1 of this Court’s Rules of Practice and Procedure.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant this motion to withdraw from appellate review and attach matters to the record.

Respectfully submitted,




OLGA STANFORD, Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
United States Air Force
(240) 612-4770



CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that the original and copies of the foregoing were sent via email to the Court and served on the Government Trial and Appellate Operations Division on 4 February 2026.

Respectfully submitted,



OLGA STANFORD, *OL* Capt, USAF
Appellate Defense Counsel
Air Force Appellate Defense Division
United States Air Force
(240) 612-4770

OL [Redacted] 1