UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES

v.

Airman First Class JEFFREY S. SCHAMBER United States Air Force

ACM 36536

31 January 2007

Sentence adjudged 2 November 2005 by GCM convened at McConnell Air Force Base, Kansas. Military Judge: Dawn R. Eflein (sitting alone).

Approved sentence: Bad-conduct discharge, confinement for 6 months, and reduction to E-1.

Appellate Counsel for Appellant: Colonel Nikki A. Hall and Captain Anthony D. Ortiz.

Appellate Counsel for the United States: Colonel Gerald R. Bruce, Lieutenant Colonel Robert V. Combs, and Captain Daniel J. Breen.

Before

BROWN, BECHTOLD, and BRAND Appellate Military Judges

PER CURIAM:

The appellant was convicted, in accordance with his pleas, of one specification of wrongful distribution of cocaine and one specification of wrongful use of cocaine, in violation of Article 112a, UCMJ, 10 U.S.C. § 912a. His adjudged and approved sentence consists of a bad-conduct discharge, confinement for 6 months, and reduction to the grade of E-1.

On appeal, the appellant asserts that the portion of his sentence involving a badconduct discharge is inappropriately severe. We have reviewed the record of trial, the assignment of error, and the government's answer thereto.

We "may affirm only such findings of guilty and the sentence or such part or amount of the sentence, as [we find] correct in law and fact and [determine], on the basis

of the entire record, should be approved." Article 66(c), UCMJ, 10 U.S.C. § 866(c). We assess sentence appropriateness by considering the particular appellant, the nature and seriousness of the offenses, the appellant's record of service, and all matters contained in the record of trial. *United States v. Healy*, 26 M.J. 394 (C.M.A. 1988); *United States v. Snelling*, 14 M.J. 267, 268 (C.M.A. 1982).

After a careful review of the record of trial, to include the appellant's post-trial submissions, we conclude the appellant's sentence which includes a bad-conduct discharge is not inappropriately severe.

The approved findings and sentence are correct in law and fact, and no error prejudicial to the substantial rights of the appellant occurred. Article 66(c), UCMJ; *United States v. Reed*, 54 M.J. 37, 41 (C.A.A.F. 2000). Accordingly, the findings and sentence are

AFFIRMED.

OFFICIAL

LOUIS T. FUSS, TSgt, USAF Chief Court Administrator

2 ACM 36536