

**UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

---

**UNITED STATES**

**v.**

**Airman Basic EBONY S. BECKETT**  
**United States Air Force**

**ACM S32070**

**24 July 2013**

Sentence adjudged 19 June 2012 by SPCM convened at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio. Military Judge: Michael Lewis (sitting alone).

Approved Sentence: Bad-conduct discharge, confinement for 24 days, and reduction to E-1.

Appellate Counsel for the Appellant: Captain Christopher D. James and Dwight H. Sullivan, Esquire.

Appellate Counsel for the United States: Colonel Don M. Christensen.

Before

STONE, ORR, and MARKSTEINER  
Appellate Military Judges

This opinion is subject to editorial correction before final release.

PER CURIAM:

The approved findings and sentence are correct in law and fact, and no error prejudicial to the substantial rights of the appellant occurred.<sup>1</sup> Article 66(c), UCMJ, 10 U.S.C. § 866(c); *United States v. Reed*, 54 M.J. 37, 41 (C.A.A.F. 2000).

---

<sup>1</sup> Although not raised, we note multiple clerical errors in the court-martial order (CMO). First, the CMO incorrectly reports the findings as to Charge II and its Specification, Charge III and its Specification, and Charge V and its Specification; in fact, no findings were entered as to each of those Charges and Specifications and they were instead dismissed with prejudice. Second, the CMO incorrectly reports the appellant's pleas as to the Specification of Charge V; in fact, the plea was not guilty. Third, the CMO fails to report Charge VI and its Specification as well the pleas and findings to that Charge and Specification. Fourth, the CMO incorrectly states the appellant's rank at the time of trial. Fifth, the CMO inaccurately omits the pen and ink changes made at trial to Specifications 1 and 2 of Charge I as well as Specification 2 of Charge IV. Sixth, the Action and the CMO do not reflect the involuntary

Accordingly, the approved findings and sentence are

AFFIRMED.



FOR THE COURT

STEVEN LUCAS  
Clerk of the Court

---

leave pursuant to Article 76a, UCMJ, 10 U.S.C. 876a. To put it mildly, the Court notes its dismay at the obvious lack of attention to detail in the preparation of the CMO. We order a corrected Action and CMO. Rules for Courts-Martial 1107(g) and 1114; Air Force Instruction 51-201, *Administration of Military Justice*, ¶ 10.10 (3 February 2010).