

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,)	ACM 36785
Appellee)	
)	
v.)	
)	ORDER
Senior Airman (E-4))	
ANDREW P. WITT,)	
USAF,)	
Appellant)	En Banc

On 23 September 2008, counsel for the appellant submitted a Motion for Leave and a Motion for Oral Argument in Support of its Petition for a New Trial.

On 29 September 2008, Counsel for the United States, advised this Court that the United States opposes the appellant's motion.

Rule 23(e) of this Court's Rules of Practice and Procedure provides "oral argument shall not normally be permitted on motions." Moreover, the appellant has failed to articulate a sufficient basis for granting oral argument on its petition for a new trial.

Accordingly, it is by the Court on this 21st day of April, 2010,

ORDERED:

Appellant's Motion for Leave and Motion for Oral Argument in Support of its Petition for a New Trial are hereby **DENIED**.

FOR THE COURT

OFFICIAL



STEVEN LUCAS, YA-02, DAF
Clerk of the Court